MPA CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

1.	Do y	you su	pport	the de	velopn	nent o	f an	MPA	network	in :	Scotlar	າd's	Seas	?
----	------	--------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-----	---------	------	---------	------	------	---

Yes

We welcome the designation of a NC MPA network in Scotland as an important step towards the improved protection of marine habitats and species of importance to wild salmon and sea trout fisheries.

Even after MPA network designation, there will still be much to do to recover more than a small proportion of the MPA search features and priority species that have been lost since the 'three mile limit' was removed in the mid 1980s.

<u>Individual possible Nature Conservation MPAs</u>

2. Do you have any comments on the case for designation, management options and socioeconomic assessment for the possible Nature Conservation MPA(s)?

INSERT RELEVENT pMPA NAME(S) HERE Northwest Sea Lochs and Summer Isles				
Designation:	Yes			
seabed habitats and species. We believe that improved protect within the Loch Ewe, Gruinard Bahelp wild salmon and sea trout a Some of the habitats to be given fish upon which sea trout feed; in Herring formerly spawned on mathematical MPA boundary. Therefore the MPA should be ex	greater protection are of importance to the small including herring, a 'keystone' species for the area. erl beds within and just outside the proposed tended to ensure that all maerl beds and other awning grounds around Wester Ross are			
	ble MPA (e.g. in Loch Ewe and Gruinard Bay) st of features to be protected and recovered.			
Management Options:	Yes			
We support the proposed involve	ement of local people and stakeholder groups with			

site management. WRFT would seek to actively participate.

For sea trout, the shallow water habitats including maerl beds, seaweed communities on sub-littoral sediment, and sea grass beds are of particular importance as they represent habitats considered to be important for feeding. Many of these habits have been damaged particularly by dredging for scallops since 1985.

Therefore, we believe that towed and active fishing gears should be excluded from all shallow areas, especially in areas where alternative less-damaging harvesting methods including creeling and scallop diving can provide comparable or higher economic return per unit seabed area.

Operation of any towed and active fishing gear within the possible MPA should be subject to Environment Impact Assessment.

We support the recommended management options for finfish aquaculture. As discharges from salmon farms can damage maerl beds beyond the Allowable Zone of Effect, we therefore would seek that fin-fish aquaculture discharges are monitored and regulated to protect maerl beds and other sensitive habitats within the proposed MPA area.

Socioeconomic Assessment:	Yes	
Fisheries for sea trout and salmon are industry in Wester Ross which also inconsorkelling and diving) and sea angling should benefit all of the above.	ncludes marine wildlife watching (incl	uding
We recognise that the commercial inslections are conomic importance for the local area of fish and shellfish populations association within the area.	ea. There is much potential for the re	storation
We believe that the successful development local fishing business by helping to prothat can secure the long-term sustainal	romote harvesting methods and mar	nagement
All of the above:	Yes 🗌	
The possible Northwest Sealochs and	d Summer Isles MPA could be called	the

The possible Northwest Sealochs and Summer Isles MPA could be called the Wester Ross' Marine Protected Area, to provide clearer local identity and foster local interest and a greater sense of local ownership; this may help to foster active local support for developing and managing the area to maximum benefit. Further surveys should be carried out to record the occurrence and condition of MPA search features within the MPA area and neighbouring areas. Surveys should be carried out to learn more about the occurrence and utilisation of seabed habitats in the area by fish species, particularly those which may spawn within the possible MPA (e.g. skate and herring).

Wester Ross Fisheries Trust can support all of the above to ensure that the MPA is successfully developed to achieve stated objectives and to maximise benefits from the MPA to the local area.

Sustainability Appraisal

,	3. Do you have any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal of the MPA network as a whole?
	Yes
	For the MPA network to be most effective, it is necessary to develop site-specific community-based opportunities for participation in natural resource management. For the network to succeed it must be clearly relevant to the lives and livelihoods of the Scottish people particularly those who will be most directly affected by it.
•	Final Thoughts
•	4. On the basis of your preferences on which pMPAs should be designated, do you view this to form a complete or ecologically coherent network, subject to the completion and recommendations of SNH's further work on the 4 remaining search locations?
	No 🗌
	To achieve ecological coherence, the Scottish Government needs to consider the role of finfish and shellfish species, traditionally regarded as only of importance to commercial fisheries (under Marine Scotland's remit) within marine ecosystems.
	Fish species which can be of 'keystone' importance within 'natural' inshore marine ecosystems around Scotland include herring which spawn on the seabed (and which can be an important food for salmon and sea trout), sea trout, salmon and juvenile gadids. None of these were included on the list of MPA search features. Therefore, we do not believe that a 'natural' ecosystem approach has been followed.
	Our forbearers established a 3-mile 'marine protected area' in the 19 th Century to protect habitats for spawning herring and other economically important fish populations within inshore waters. The collapse of many coastal fish populations since 1985 lends support to their earlier more precautionary approach to fisheries management and marine protection.
	The proposed 21 st century MPA network provides a level of marine protection much less than that which was afforded by the 3-mile limit to mobile fishing gear. Following the removal of the 3 mile limit in 1985, seabed habitats around Scotland have been subject to much damage and destruction; and many wild fish populations and coastal fisheries (e.g. for whitefish, herring, sea trout) have collapsed.
	There is much to do to better protect and manage our inshore marine environment in order to restore healthier fish populations and sustain more prosperous coastal communities around Scotland; the current network remains inadequate unless other measures can be put in place to provide better protection for fragile marine habitats and priority species outwith possible MPAs that remain threatened.

5.	Do you have any other comments on the case for designation, management options, environmental or socioeconomic assessments of the pMPAs, or the network as a whole?						
	Yes						
	bjectives for nature conservation need to be better meshed together with bjectives for fisheries management.						
	he value of protecting seabed habitats for fisheries management purposes needs be better understood.						
hı S	owever, the proposed MPA network represents progress. We recognise that a uge amount of work has been carried out by SNH staff and colleagues within the cottish Government in progressing the Scottish MPA project thus far. Well done all.						