CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

Section 1

| Q1) Do you think the Home Report is meeting its original objectives? |
|--|
| Yes ⊠ No □ |
| Q1a) If yes, please explain why Comments |
| Q1b) If no, please explain why |
| Comments |
| Q2) Are the original Home Report objectives still appropriate? Yes ☑ No ☐ |
| Q2a) If yes, please explain why Comments The home report system has had many objectives all of which remain appropriate. Assisting first time buyers, helping to improve the housing stock of the country by highlighting defects to vendors prior to sale and also energy performance issues as per the EPC. Purchasers are provided with additional information that they would traditionally not have had access to without paying for a more detailed survey as a potential purchaser. More information to purchasers within the HR should have hopefully resulted in less transactions falling through with more upfront information available. |
| Q2b) If no, please explain why |
| Comments |
| Q3) Should the Home Report play a more central role in promoting energy efficiency and property condition improvements among home owners? Yes No Climate change is clearly the buzz issue just now and it would no doubt be only right for the HomeReport to some house promote further "green" improvements. This however could well be contained within the EPC which already provides additional comment on energy issues. |
| With regard to promoting property condition improvements it is clear that there are presently some issues with the surveyor only being able to provide objective information as apposed to a more traditional surveyors report which provided subjective commentary and advise to the readers of the report. |
| Q3b) If no, please explain why |

| Q4) Should a national register of Home Reports be established? Yes ⊠ No □ |
|---|
| Q4a) If yes, please explain why including who should have responsibility for development and maintenance Luckly, being based in Aberdeen the sales of property are dominated thru the Aberdeen Solicitors Property Centre. This holds all home reports completed on past properties that have been resold. This "historical" data can then be accessed by surveyors/solicitors to allow for more accurate comparable data to be issued. |
| If such a system where available country wide I can only imagine this would assist in some instances if there were cross firm access to reports completed – afterall it is a public document and available to all. |
| A register may also allow for audit of reports to be completed by the Scottish Government. It is clear from reading reports produced country wide that there are varying levels of detail and commentary that go into reports. By having a central register (similar to that of the Elmhurst or BRE who provide EPC's) there would no doubt be the availability to audit published reports. |
| Q4b) If no, please explain why |
| Comments |

Section 2 Q5) Do you think the upfront cost of Home Reports is preventing potential sellers from putting their property onto the market? Yes ☐ No 🖂 Q5a) If yes, please provide details. Comments Q6) Are you aware of any schemes available (e.g. deferred payment) to help potential sellers to pay for home reports? Yes ⊠ No □ Q6a) If yes, please provide details Deffered payment schemes is one system which has been used by national firms of surveyors to assist vendors by holding funds until the sale has been completed. This however is not widely used with most firms wishing to take payment upfront. Q7) Are there any issues with the majority of Home Reports being commissioned through selling agents? Yes ☐ No 🖂 Q7a) If yes, please explain why Comments Q7b) If no, please explain why Surveyors should be completing Home Reports completely impartially and uninfluenced by any 3rd party. Comments Q8) Should other organisations be allowed to carry out the Single Survey (including valuation) and/or the Energy Report? Yes │ │ No 🔀 Q8a) If yes, what other organisations and why Comments Q8b) If no, please explain why

Home Reports should only ever be completed by Charted Surveyors for any number of reasons. Firstly Chartered Surveyors are rigorously trained to exceptionally high standards with a breadth of knowledge and expertise that is only available within the profession. As property professionals who are MRICS accredited they are also required to uphold the high standards of the RICS who provide a global standard to the profession ensuring that reports are completed to the highest standard. On this note, this is why backing of the home report was provided by lenders nationally with only

| cor lend cor for sur | RICS accredited surveyors being able to complete the valuation and addition report. Should it be the case that this requirement was removed ders would require additional surveys to be carried out thus costing assumers money and ultimately leaving sellers with the burden of paying a survey that will only have to be replicated again by a chartered veyor for lending purposes. | |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|
| prop | In your experience is the requirement for a home report before marke perty leading to delays in properties coming onto the market? \square No \boxtimes | ting a |
| | a) If yes, please outline the implications of this. mments | |
| |) Are home reports a useful marketing tool for sellers? ☐ No ⊠ | |
| | 0a) If yes, please explain why mments | |
| The | 0b) If no, please explain why e home report was never designed to be a marketing tool. It will only vide factual information to potential vendors. | |
| Ver | ndors pay selling agents for this job. | |
| fee | the vendors choice to maintain their property to what ever standard they l appropriate. This will of course be reflected within the home report thru appropriate commentary and condition ratings. | |
| opp obj | endors feel aggrieved by commentary within the report they will have the portunity to complete improvement works which was one of the original ectives of the home report. mments | |
| hom |) Is the 12 week deadline for marketing a property after completion of ne report appropriate and reasonable? ☐ No ☑ | а |
| In p | 1a) If yes, please explain why property markets that can be somewhat changeable it is only appropriate at there is a shelf life of the home report prior to a "replacement" reporting required. It is the "lenders" who specified that there will be a 3 month | |

validity of the MVR section of the home report therefore so long as lenders will not accept reports in excess of 3 months this deadline will require to

Q11b) If no, please explain why

remain – in line with lenders requirements.

| Q12) Is the 28 day provision for removing a property from the market without requiring a new home report appropriate and reasonable? Yes \square No \boxtimes |
|--|
| Q12a) If yes, please explain why Comments |
| Q12b) If no, please explain why |
| Comments |
| Q13) Are there any issues with potential buyers accessing home reports? Yes \square No \boxtimes |
| Q13a) If yes, please provide an overview and outline the implications of this Comments |
| Q14) Is this the most appropriate way to enforce home report legislation? Yes \boxtimes No \square |
| Q14a) If no, please explain why and how this could be improved Comments |
| Q15) What are your views on mortgage lenders' acceptance of home report valuations? |
| All lenders should in theory be able to accept the figures stated within the home report so long as it has been completed by a chartered surveyor. Some lenders have not been accepting the MVRs. This results in confusion for the vendor with a further survey and valuation required over the property with the home report was originally meant to be accepted by all lenders. |
| Q16) Are the re-dress options available to buyers reasonable and appropriate? Yes \boxtimes No \square |
| Q16a) If no, please explain why and how these could be improved Comments |
| Q17) Do these exceptions need to be amended? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \) |
| Q17a) If yes, please explain what amendments are required and why Comments |

| Section 3 | |
|---|------|
| Q18) Does the single survey element of the home report provide an appropriate and useful level of information? Yes No | |
| Q18a) If no, please explain why and what information should be removed and/or added Comments | |
| Q19) Should the repairs categories in the single survey be amended to m them consistent with the categories used in the Scottish House Condition Survey? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \(\subseteq \) | |
| Q19a) If yes, please explain why Comments | |
| Q19b) If no, please explain why They should remain unchanged as the public have become used to the grading system. Any change would cause confusion for both vendors and purchasers. Comments | |
| Q20) Is the valuation element of the single survey a useful element of the report? Yes \boxtimes No \square | home |
| Q20a) If yes, please explain why Comments Ultimately this is the figure report within the MVR contained within the home report. | |
| Q20b) If no, please explain why | |
| Comments | |
| Q21) Is the information provided in the energy report appropriate and use Yes \boxtimes No \square | ful? |
| Q21a) If yes, please explain why Comments On a basic level, this is useful to home users. However, I am of the opinion that very few people actually read the energy report and ultimately the EPC will rarely effect the sale of a property. | |

Q22) Is the information provided in the property questionnaire appropriate and useful? Yes \boxtimes No \square

Q21b) If no, please explain why

Comments

| | ase explain why e user information" is invaluable to purchasers who require formation on the property – alterations, service providers |
|--|--|
| Q22b) If no, plea | se explain why |
| Comments | |
| | |
| • | dditional question on land maintenance fees be added erty Questionnaire? |
| _to the Prop | erty Questionnaire? |
| to the Prop Yes ⊠ No ☐ Q23a) If yes, ple | erty Questionnaire? ase explain why |