## CONSULTATION QUESTIONS Section 1 Q1) Do you think the Home Report is meeting its original objectives? No $\square$ Q1a) If yes, please explain why Comments Q1b) If no, please explain why Comments The stated objective was to stop multiple surveys. They had already stopped before the legislation came into force. A second objective was to improve the housing stock. This has not happened in any way because of home reports. Sellers don't renovate before sale they just adjust the price. Buyers don't want the sellers to do repairs because they don't trust they will be done properly. That is plain commonsense which the government officials don't appear to understand. Q2) Are the original Home Report objectives still appropriate? No □ Q2a) If yes, please explain why Comments Q2b) If no, please explain why Comments See answer before. Q3) Should the Home Report play a more central role in promoting energy efficiency and property condition improvements among home owners? No Q3a) If yes, please explain why Comments Q3b) If no, please explain why Comments see answer before Q4) Should a national register of Home Reports be established? No $\square$

Q4a) If yes, please explain why including who should have responsibility for development and maintenance Comments

Q4b) If no, please explain why Because these are discredited

documents not trusted by buyers or lenders.

Comments

## Section 2

Q5) Do you think the upfront cost of Home Reports is preventing potential sellers from putting their property onto the market? Yes
Q5a) If yes, please provide details.  Comments Potential sales clients have been consistently put off by costs involved of "testing the market" now.
Q6) Are you aware of any schemes available (e.g. deferred payment) to help potential sellers to pay for home reports?  Yes
Q6a) If yes, please provide details Comments Doesn't really change things. Sellers still see unnecessary costs they'll have to pay eventually.
Q7) Are there any issues with the majority of Home Reports being commissioned through selling agents? Yes
Q7a) If yes, please explain why Comments Distrusted by buyers and buyers lenders.
Q7b) If no, please explain why
Comments
Q8) Should other organisations be allowed to carry out the Single Survey (including valuation) and/or the Energy Report?  Yes \[ \sum \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Q8a) If yes, what other organisations and why Comments doesn't matter.
Q8b) If no, please explain why
Comments
Q9) In your experience is the requirement for a home report before marketin property leading to delays in properties coming onto the market? Yes
Q9a) If yes, please outline the implications of this.

Slows down the whole process Comments
Q10) Are home reports a useful marketing tool for sellers?
Q10a) If yes, please explain why Comments
Q10b) If no, please explain why
Comments See answers above
Q11) Is the 12 week deadline for marketing a property after completion of a home report appropriate and reasonable? No $\Box$
Q11a) If yes, please explain why Comments
Q11b) If no, please explain why
The whole concept is farcical. You can't value a property in isolation. You can only value it after it has been marketed it and interest is assessed.
Comments
Q12) Is the 28 day provision for removing a property from the market without requiring a new home report appropriate and reasonable?  No
Q12a) If yes, please explain why Comments
Q12b) If no, please explain why
Comments See answers above
Q13) Are there any issues with potential buyers accessing home reports? No $\ \square$
Q13a) If yes, please provide an overview and outline the implications of this Comments
Q14) Is this the most appropriate way to enforce home report legislation?

Q14a) If no, please explain why and how this could be improved Comments They should not be compulsory at all. If sellers want to get one then fine. But they won't.	
Q15) What are your views on mortgage lenders' acceptance of home reporvaluations?	't
Comments Many don't accept . Causes chaos in missives process.	
Q16) Are the re-dress options available to buyers reasonable and appropria	ate?
Q16a) If no, please explain why and how these could be improved Comments	
Should be scrapped.	
Q17) Do these exceptions need to be amended? Yes No	
Q17a) If yes, please explain what amendments are required and why Comments	

Section 3	
Q18) Does the single survey element of the home report provide an appropriate and useful level of information?  No	
Q18a) If no, please explain why and what information should be removed and/or added Comments Wishy washy stuff in these paragraphs.	
Q19) Should the repairs categories in the single survey be amended to make them consistent with the categories used in the Scottish House Conditions Survey? No $\Box$	€
Q19a) If yes, please explain why Comments	
Q19b) If no, please explain why	
Comments Don't think it matters much.	
Q20) Is the valuation element of the single survey a useful element of the horeport? No $\Box$	me
Q20a) If yes, please explain why Comments	
Q20b) If no, please explain why	
Comments A basic "valuation" or pricing sought put on a property by a selling agent is all that is needed at the time of marketing.	
Q21) Is the information provided in the energy report appropriate and useful No $\ \square$	<b>!?</b>
Q21a) If yes, please explain why Comments	
Q21b) If no, please explain why	
Comments Never had one single buyer ever show the slightest interest in this part of the report.	
Q22) Is the information provided in the property questionnaire appropriate a useful? No	and

Q22a) If yes, please explain why Comments

Q22b) If no, please explain why
Comments because it cannot be relied upon.
Q23) Should an additional question on land maintenance fees be added to the Property Questionnaire?  No
Q23a) If yes, please explain why Comments
Q23b) If no, please explain why Should get rid off home reports that's why. Comments