

From: [Valerie Arnold](#)
To: [2002 Act Review](#)
Subject: Hunting with hounds
Date: 31 March 2016 11:58:30

Dear Sir/ Madam

I believe that the Act as it stands is not working as it should be and that animals are being cruelly and slowly killed. Altering the wording of the Act from “deliberately” to “intentionally or recklessly” would make it easier to police. Other amendments which would make a real impact on hunters’ behaviour and the prosecution and conviction rates, would be for the requirement of only one witness as evidence, or if mounted fox hunts muzzled the hounds, or by going even further and banning the use of horses for those participating in fox hunting.

Yours faithfully

Valerie Arnold



This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email has been received from an external party and
has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.
