

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [2002 Act Review](#)  
**Subject:** Response to review  
**Date:** 31 March 2016 14:29:38

---

Hello, I would appreciate if my name not be made public.

I do not believe the act in it's current state is sufficient. The act states that there is no limit on the number of dogs used in flushing, but how can a pack of dogs be controlled not to kill and chase the animal when it has come out of cover. For this reason it is in-practical. Recent evidence supplied by the League Against Cruel Sports showed that flushing has become a cover up for the hunt this act tried to end, please amend to end the killing of these animals from dogs and prosecution easier to enforce.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

\*\*\*\*\*

This email has been received from an external party and has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

\*\*\*\*\*