FHI 059, Version 12	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
Case No: 2019-0376			Date of visit: 01/08/2019
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS0805 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Bagh Dail Nan Cean Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 WEL 2	3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.6	Thermometer No:	T275	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

#### Additional Case Information:

Accompanying APHA inspectors to inspect the site following reports of a welfare concern in cleaner fish stock.

Waste ensiled at Kames and transported by Ferguson/Billy Bowie to Energen.

Lump fish in all cages, wrasse in 7 cages. Cleaner fish will be recycled for a maximum of 2 cycles, if in good condition and go through a quarantine procedure. 8 week fallow period. So far, no lumpfish have been recycled.

Lumpfish from Anglesay.

Lumpfish monthly mortality = Dec 18) - 816 01) - 2,207 - 02) - 3653 03) - 1195 04) - 3007 05) - 5055 06) - 9009 07) - 26860 08) - 2781

WRA - Dec 1015 1) 781 2) 597 3)518 4)723 5)510 6)599 7)907 8) 81 Total - 41473 since January

Florfenicol treatment for lumpfish in February 2019. Mortality came down in March, but began rising again in April.

127,878 total lumpfish over cycle ~22,000 remain on site with the majority dying from unknown causes.

The company SOP says Cleaner fish mortality should be reported to cleaner fish vet when back ground mortality levels reach 0.3% background mortality per day, however it appear that few checks were carried out between May and August, even with mortality rising.

Health reports -

13/06/2019 - Health report suggests Crator disease may be a cause of mortality in lumpfish stock. Systemic bacterial infection

24/06 - There was a health inspection of the cleaner fish. There were signs of bacterial infection on the lumpfish with white nodules being present on the tips of spines. There was also a passive grade of the fish as well a thermolicer treatment.

31/07 - July 31st – Lumpfish have a known bacterial infection and no treatment plan is in place, as salmon are on harvest plans and starve days for treatment. However staff are removing infected lumpfish.

Situation at the site was not reflective of the mortality records. Very few fish were seen in general, possibly due to low number of fish left and of those fish ~3 displayed signs of disease. Samples were unable to be collected as there were very few moribund fish seen and all fish quickly scattered when approached with the net.

Treatments - Peroxide treatment WB 1st of July. Thermolicer treating from the 20th - 26th of June. Alphamax treatment on the 24th of July on pens 9 and 11. Slice treatment 1st June.

FHI 059, Version 12			lss	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0376		Site No:	FS080	5			
Date of Visit:		01/08/20	)19		Inspector(s	s):		]
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			y site represen	ntative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	1
Site Details								
Total No facilities		12	Facilities s	tocked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM					
Age group	18 S0	MIX	Mix					
No Fish	850,000	28,000	22,000					
Mean Fish Wt	3.2 Kg	Mix	Mix					
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	April 2020	)	Next Input D	ate (Site)	Septembe	r 2020	
Decemb (least 4 vides)		Jan 2			V A	/-: !+	.:-:4\0	N
Recent (last 4 wks) of If yes, detail:	Disease iss		aner fish		Any escape	es (since last	visit)?	N
•		aco mar or						
Movement Records								
1. Movement record		or inspectio	n?				00/00/00/10	Y
2. Date of last inspec		414	10				26/06/2019	
<ol> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are movement records</li> </ol>				-2				T
5. Are records comp				<b>3</b> (				\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
6. Are health certification		•		ilable?				N/A
Transport Records		`	,					
Are any movement		ıt by (or on	behalf) of the l	business (not u	sing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst			•	•				
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•	•					Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Biogas - Er	nergen, Cumb	ernauld	
If other detail: 3. Mortality records of	complete and	d correctly s	entered?					Y
o. Mortality records (	complete and	a correctly t		4% (1143) WK	28 - 0 09% (7	790) WK29 - 0	08% (681) V	VK30 -
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		0.08% (683	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 0.0070 (7	00, 111120		11100
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	atypical mo	rtalities?					Y
If yes, facility nos/no	7 1	•		ty/reason:				
Cleaner fish mortalit								
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	uring period	checked?					N
If yes, detail:			h	4 FI IIO				NI/A
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:  8 Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	enorted to F	HI2 If no. add	MRT case and	enter on mo	rtality evente e	heet	N/A

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).  See addiitonal comments	

Case No:	2019-0376	Date of visit: 01/08/2019						
Site No:	FS0805	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	<u> </u>							
	<del>                                     </del>							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
WEL	07/11/2019		2 IIISP					
***	077172010							
	1							
	<b>i</b>							





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**DATE OF VISIT** 02/08/2019 **Business No** FB0119

SITE NO FS0805 SITE NAME Bagh Dail Nan Cean INSPECTOR CASE NO 20190376

The fish health inspectorate accompanied an inspector from the Animal and Plant Health Agency during a visit to the above site.

#### Records

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/11/2019

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

FHI 059, Version 12		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 08/10/	2018
Case No: 2019-0482			Date	e of visit: 02/08/2019	
Time spent on site:	hours	Ma	in Inspector:		
Site No: SS0884 Business No: SB0550	Site Name: Business Name:	Eilean an Atha Sound of Luing Biva	alve Seafarm Ltd		∃
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 3	4 5	6	_	
Water Temp (°C): 15.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI	045 completed	N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	s c	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see add	itional informatio	n/clinical score sheet. n/clinical score sheet. n/clinical score sheet.	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

### **Additional Case Information:**

Recently bought over by current owners after being unused for some time. First inspection since 2014 and since having been taken over.

There's been no observed mortality onsite so far. There is currently a large shed being constructed and when mortalities are discovered, the shell will be used buried and used as construction material in the short term.

New site which is still currently under development. The site had its first shipment in May 2019. The site is currently being built up, with plans to hold stock in two locations as well as build a large work shed, with potential for depuration facilities.

No issues on the site, a few empty trestles to be used as oysters grow. Overall site very clean and tidy.

FHI 059, Version 12			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018
Case No:	2019-0482		Site No:	SS0884				
Date of Visit:		02/08/2019	<b>9</b>		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site representa	ative?			Y N	}
Site Details Total No facilities Species	CGI	87	Facilities sto	cked	55	No facilitie	s inspected	87
Age group No Fish	2018 300,000							
Mean Fish Wt	20-25m			N (1 (5	(8)	0 1/0 1	20.10	
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	No plans		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Sept/Oct 2	2019	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
Movement Records	<u> </u>							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		·					First inspect	tion
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement re-	cords availab	e for dead fis	sh and waste?	•				N/A
<ol><li>Are records comp</li></ol>		•						N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (out	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst			•	•	_			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Other (detail	)		
If other detail:	Buried - see	additional co	mments					
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent	ered?					Y
<ol><li>Recent mortality (</li></ol>	last 4 wks):		No observed	l mortality				
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in If yes, detail:	n mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N
7. Have increased (u	Inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	enpisiniosi) i		- Cportou te					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	l? If no, add M	IRT case and e	enter on morta	ality events s	sheet.	N/A

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018
1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?  If yes, detail:  If other, detail:  2. Medicines records available for inspection?  3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  5. If yes, what treatment(s)?  If other, detail:  6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?     Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, real that the manner and period in which the APB will notifincreased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included.	fy Scottish Ministers or veterinary professiona	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the p is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be	•	sted disease Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being sto health status, certification if required)?	ocked on the farm site been covered (equal o	or higher Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement transmission of disease been covered (movement of state). Is documentation available regarding the measures in	iff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	?
aquaculture animals held on site?	i place to maintain the physical containment	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately imp If no, detail:	olemented on site?	Y
Results of Surveillance		

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

3. Any significant results?

First inspection

Date of issue: 08/10/2018 FHI 059, Version 12 Issued by: FHI Case Number: 2019-0482 Site No: SS0884 Date of Visit 02/08/2019 Inspector: Number of Susceptible species on site If no susceptible species present = LOW risk If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen Yes No 25 Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED) 0 Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED) 0 3 Susceptible to OsHV (CGI) 0 3 1 2-5 >6 Sites within a tidal excursion Site contacts Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion 0 2 10 Live shellfish movements 0 1-2 >3 Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS O 10 Movements on Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country 0 10 20 n Number of suppliers 0 5 10 0 Movements off Frequency of movements off within MSS Management 0 1 2 1 Frequency of movements off outwith MSS Management 0 3 6 Areas 0 Number of destinations Secure Unsecure Management (effluent (no effluent treatment) practices None treatment) Water contacts with Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS depuration facilities management area 0 2 0 Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within 0 2 6 MSS management area Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management 0 8 area Number of sites 2 or 3 > 4 **Biosecurity** Contacts with other Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment sites Yes No Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc 0

Total Risk

LOW

Case No:	2019-0482	Date of visit: 02/08/2019						
Site No:	SS0884	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
			<u> </u>					
			<u> </u>					
Report Summany	1							
Report Summary Case Type	Date	lnon	ond .					
Case Type		Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI	25/09/2019							





Sound of Luing Bivalve Seafarm Ltd Achahoish Farmhouse Achahoish Ormsary Road Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8PD

# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0550 SITE NO SS0884 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 02/ SITE NAME Eile CASE NO 201

02/09/2019 Eilean an Atha 20190482

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHl/charter">www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHl/charter</a>

Date: 25/09/2019