FHI 059, Version 12	I	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 08/10/2018						
Case No: 2019-0741			Date of visit: 11/12/2019						
Time spent on site:	.5 hours	Main Ir	nspector:						
Site No: FS0511 Business No: FB0297	Site Name: Business Name:	Forgue Forgue Fish Farm							
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 4.7	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed N/A						
Observations:	Region: GR	Water type: F	CoGP MA						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:									

Additional Case Information:

Accompanied by as part of inspector competency audit. Hatchery and tank area confirmed as fallow and in a state of disrepair. Unsure whether this area will be used again. Import of 20,000 Rainbow trout ova in March 2019 from Northern Ireland. Mortality reported as low across the site. No reported problems. Occasional fish observed with fungal infection and occasional darker fish observed. Also one moribund fish observed in the pipe within the outlet channel. Two fish removed for further observation but no significant gross pathology to justify further investigation. Internally the fish selected for VMD purposes appeared healthy with no obvious signs of disease. There was no disinfection facilities on entering the site, the site is in close proximity to and connected to the fishery - it was confirmed by the site manager that no disinfection took place with respect to the fishery.

The following issues were raised as a result of the visit:

- 1) Movement records to include all movements to the Forgue Fishery and FS / FIS numbers to be included for source and destination sites within Scotland
- 2) All practical measures put in place for full and complete mortality removal and recording
- 3) Appropriate measures to encourgae and ensure disinfection on entering the site and connected put-and-take fishery

FHI 059, Version 12			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 08/10/2018		
Case No:	2019-0741		Site No:	FS0511						
Date of Visit:		11/12/201	9		Inspector(s):]		
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site represent	tative?			Y N			
Site Details			_					All standard		
Total No facilities		16	Facilities st	ocked	8	No facilitie	s inspected	All stocked facilities		
Species	Rainbow trout	Brook	Brown	Tiger						
Age group	Mixed	Up to 2	Up to 2	Up to 2 years						
No Fish	~11,500	1,500	500	1,500						
Mean Fish Wt	40g - 1.5kg	250g	250g	250g						
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	never fallov	w in entirity	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	March 202	0			
				ī	1					
Recent (last 4 wks)	lisease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last v	visit)?	N		
If yes, detail:										
Movement Records 1. Movement records		r inspection	?					Y		
2. Date of last inspec							23/11/2018			
3. Are records comp		•						N		
4. Are movement red				?				Y		
Are records compAre health certification		_		lable?				Y		
Transport Records		luctions (out	IWILLI GD) avai	lable !				'		
Are any movement		t by (or on b	ehalf) of the b	ousiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y		
If yes, is there a syst		• •		•				Y		
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed of	f?			Other (detail)					
If other detail:	landfill - dom									
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly en						Y		
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): reported low mortality - 3 mortalities recorded since last inspection										
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:										
ir yes, racility nos/no	mortality per	Tacility/110 St	tock per racilit	y/reason.						
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period o	checked?					N		
If yes, detail:										
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) ı	nortalities b	een reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A		
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no. add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.										

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

Issue Type	Issue Sub Type	Summary of Issue Raised	Date records
			received and
			issue resolved
			(if applicable)
Authorisation		No disinfection facility on entering the site.	05/03/2020
Authorisation		Recovery of mortalities on site	05/03/2020
Records		Movement records - to record movements to the fishery and to include FS and FIS numbers	05/032020

	11 059, VEISIOII 12							133	ucu by.				
	Case no:	2019-07	41	Site No:		FS0511			Date of Samplin		11/	12/2019	
	Priority samples:	VI	\Box	ВА		PA		MG		y. HI		l	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:3	0:00	13:0	0:00	1	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	1
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3	Calm	4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	RTR											
	Average weight	0.6000											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	FW											
ails		ien											
Detail		Fishery											
\Box		Ae F											
Stock	Stock Origin												
S	Facility No	12											

	Additional Sample Information:												
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	U								

FHI 059, Version 12	Issued by: FHI Date of issue:						
Case Number:	2019-0741		Site No:	FS0511	ı	nsp:	
Date of Visit	11/12/2019		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	۵	18	26	
Species	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	5
11							40
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10 10
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts				10	10
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		1
uiscuscs)		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III			7		—-
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	tarms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the fales of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
		from zone or compartment of		1			-
	equivalent statu		4				0
		from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				0
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0]			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes		1 0	1			
utionii uccess to cages	No		2	-			0
	1			J			
					Total		35
					Rank		HIGH

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? 2. Is the CoCR Form Management Area (or equivalent), followed experienced on a single year class basis?									
2. In the CoCD Form Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?									
Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?									
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm									
Management Area (or equivalent)?									
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)									
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)									
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)									
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above during the period that records are inspected?									
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.									
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)									
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)									
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?									
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?									
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?									
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?									
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?									
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.									
Containment Inspection									
Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?									
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)									
Mesh and fencing around farm - sides and top									
If other, detail below:									
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	4								
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?									
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)									
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)									
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail									
8. If all note were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interacts and was normission given by Scotlish									
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)									
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could									
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)									
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)									

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 12

Date of issue: 08/10/2018

Case No:	2019-0741			Date of visit:	11/12/2019						
						•					
Site No:	FS0511			Inspector:		J					
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
						.					
	1										
	1										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, VMD	30/11/2019		2 11155								
EC case completion	05/03/2020										
·											





Forgue Fish Farm Inverkeithny Huntly Aberdeenshire AB54 7XD

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0297
 Date of Visit 11/12/2019

 Site No
 FS0511
 Site Name
 Forgue

 Inspector
 Case No
 20190741

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All stocked epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. No movements between the site operating as the Forgue fish farm (FS0511) and the site operating as the Forgue Fishery (FIS0559) were recorded within the site movement book. This is despite verbal confirmation during the visit

that such movements regularly occur. In addition, movement record information did not contain the registration numbers for source or destination sites within Scotland.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Low level mortality was recorded within the records maintained on site. This was explained, by the site manager, as a result of guard dogs protecting the site periodically removing and consuming dead fish. It is recommended that all practical measures are put in place to ensure the full and complete removal and recording of mortalities at Forgue. This in turn will benefit the maintenance of accurate stock and mortality records which are essential for the management of the site in terms of animal health.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. The plan itself, in terms of content is adequate, however, contrary to that plan, no disinfection facilities were available on entering the farm (or adjacent fishery which is connected).

The following points are raised following the inspection:

- 1) Movement records must be maintained to ensure that:
 - a record of all movements of live fish between the fish farm (FS0511) and the fishery (FIS0559) are maintained
 - registration numbers for all source or destination sites within Scotland are recorded as part of that movement record. You can access registration numbers through Scotland's Aquaculture website: http://aquaculture.scotland.gov.uk/
- 2) That all practical measures are put in place to ensure the full and complete removal and recording of mortalities at Forgue.
- 3) Appropriate measures are put in place to encourage and ensure disinfection with respect to visitors to the fish farm and anglers to the connected put-and-take fishery.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained. Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please ensure that the points detailed above have been addressed by 31 January 2020. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 30 December 2019

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter





Forgue Fish Farm Inverkeithny Huntly Aberdeenshire AB54 7XD

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0297 Site No FS0511 Inspector DATE OF VISIT 11/12/2019
SITE NAME Forgue
CASE NO 20190741

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 31 January 2020. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 06 March 2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter