

Case No: 2019-0589 Date of visit: 18/10/2019

Time spent on site: 3 hours Main Inspector:

Site No: FS1106 Site Name: Neilston Blue Trout Hatchery Soames
Business No: FB0443 Business Name: Kingswell Trout Fishery

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 10.1 Thermometer No: T275 FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: F CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Mortality event occurred in February last year. Suspected water quality issue in water coming from the loch may have caused around 30,000 fish (mainly fry) to die. This was not reported to the FHI and no mortality records were available.

Biosecurity measures plan is in place, however it was not available for inspection. A copy was held by the FHI and has been sent through to the operator. Mortalities are not being disposed of in accordance with the BMP and following a mortality event neither the FHI or a vet was notified.

Mortality records have not been sent through to inspectorate, as they were not being maintained. Warning letter was issued to the company requiring that mortality records are maintained and made available during the next inspection.

Case No: **2019-0589** Site No: **FS1106**
 Date of Visit: **18/10/2019** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**
 2. Changes made to details? **N**

Site Details

Total No facilities	30		Facilities stocked	4		No facilities inspected	30	
Species	TRT	RBT	TRT					
Age group	2019	2018	2018					
No Fish	1,000	500	500					
Mean Fish Wt	10g	400g	400g					
Next Fallow Date (Site)	No plans		Next Input Date (Site)	Jan 2020				

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? **N** Any escapes (since last visit)? **N**
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
 2. Date of last inspection: **29/03/2017**
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **Y**

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **Y**
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **Y**

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **N**
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
 If other detail: **Used for animal feed**
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **N**
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **No observed mortality - 1 mort found during inspection**
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **Y**
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
No records kept - water quality issue
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **Y**
 If yes, detail: **Water quality issue**
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N**
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet. **N**

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?		N
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		N/A
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		N
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?		N/A
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?		N/A
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?		N/A
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?		N/A
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?		N/A
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?		N/A
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		N
If no, detail:	See additional comments	
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?		N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 29/03/2017 - 18/10/2019		

Case Number:	2019-0589	Site No:	FS1106	Insp:		
Date of Visit	18/10/2019	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					11	LOW

Case No: **2019-0589**

Site No: **FS1106**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Fence

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

[REDACTED]
Kingswell Trout Fishery
14 Hillside Road
Neilston
Glasgow
G78 3ER
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0443	DATE OF VISIT	18/10/2019
SITE No	FS1106	SITE NAME	Neilston Blue Trout Hatchery Soames
INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]	CASE No	20190589

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were not available for inspection

R04

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was not available for inspection.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Mortality records must be made available for inspection.
- The biosecurity measures plan must be provided for inspection.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.


Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 18/12/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

[REDACTED]
Kingswell Trout Fishery
14 Hillside Road
Neilston
Glasgow
G78 3ER
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0443	DATE OF VISIT	18/10/2019
SITE No	FS1106	SITE NAME	Neilston Blue Trout Hatchery Soames
INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]	CASE No	20190589

Warning letter issued

Issues were raised in relation to the above case, with a requirement for records unavailable for inspection to be submitted by 18/01/2020. The required records have not been provided to Marine Scotland, because they were not maintained. This case is now closed.

A warning letter was issued on 30/06/2020 requiring that the records are maintained in future and made available for inspection. Inspections may be conducted at any time, without prior notification. Failure to comply with the warning letter may result in enforcement action being taken.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 30/06/2020

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

Case No: 2019-0611 Date of visit: 30/10/2019

Time spent on site: 1hr Main Inspector:

Site No: SS0849 Site Name: Fuiay Rock South of Hellisay
Business No: SB0526 Business Name: Donald MacNeil

Case Types: 1 REG 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed N

Observations: Region: WI Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
Site is fallow.

Additional Case Information:

Site has been fallow for almost 10 years. Owner was asked if he wished to deactivate the site, however, he informed us that he plans to deploy spat collectors in the Spring next year (2020), with the hope of natural settlement. No equipment is on site currently.

No BMP was available during the time of inspection, and owner has no recollection of ever creating one. He was reminded that he would have had to create one prior to authorisation. It was agreed that a template would be sent to him to complete and send in within 30days of the date of inspection and prior to stocking the site next year.

Inspection and paperwork completed by [REDACTED] under [REDACTED] supervision.

Biosecurity measures plan was created and sent to the operator on 22/06/2020 - [REDACTED]

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="2 longlines"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="0"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Site fallow."/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Natural settlement (April 2020)"/>		

Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Any escapes (since last visit)?
 If yes, detail:

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
 2. Date of last inspection:
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
 If yes, detail:
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
 If yes, detail action:
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, add MRT case and enter on mortality events sheet.

1. Recent treatments (last 4 wks)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 23/05/17 - 30/10/19

Case Number:	2019-0611	Site No:	SS0849
Date of Visit	30/10/2019	Inspector:	
Number of Susceptible species on site			
If no susceptible species present = LOW risk			
If susceptible species present, score for each pathogen			
		No	Yes
Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25
Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3
Susceptible to OshV (CGI)		0	3
Sites within a tidal excursion			
		1	2-5
			>6
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2
			10
Live shellfish movements			
		0	1-2
			>3
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10
	Number of suppliers	0	5
Movements off	Frequency of movements off <u>within</u> MSS Management Areas	0	1
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3
	Number of destinations	0	3
Management practices			
		None	Secure (effluent treatment)
			Unsecure (no effluent treatment)
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4
Biosecurity			
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3
			≥ 4
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1
			Yes
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	0	2
Total Risk			12
			LOW

Case No: **2019-0611**

Date of visit: **30/10/2019**

Site No: **SS0849**

Inspector: **[Redacted]**

Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
REG	05/11/2019	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
Case Completion	25/06/2020	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

██████████
██████████
239 Bruernish
Isle of Barra
HS9 5UY
██████████

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	SB0526	DATE OF VISIT	30/10/2019
SITE No	SS0849	SITE NAME	Fuiay Rock South of Hellisay
INSPECTOR	████████████████████	CASE No	20190611

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above business was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was reported to be fallow.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every fourth year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

The following point was raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- The biosecurity measures plan for the site was not available for inspection.

This must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that this point has been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed:



Date: 07/11/2019

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter



[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

239 Bruernish
Isle of Barra

HS9 5UY
[REDACTED]

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	SB0526	DATE OF VISIT	30/10/2019
SITE No	SS0849	SITE NAME	Fuiay Rock South of Hellisay
INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]	CASE No	20190611

Case completion report

Issues were raised in relation to the above case, with a requirement for records unavailable for inspection to be submitted by 07/12/2019. The required records have now been provided to Marine Scotland.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [REDACTED]

Date: 25/06/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter