FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2020-0079			Date of visit: 14/09/2020				
Time spent on site: 5	hrs	Main Inspect	or:				
Site No: FS0948 Business No: FB0440	Site Name: Business Name:	Score Holms Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.9	Thermometer No:	t153	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

Mortalities have been very low throughout cycle since stocking. Monitored daily by camera. First fish likely to be harvested in the next few months.

Sea lice counts very low. Sea lice skirts were in place prior to stocking and just recently removed. Two slice treatments administered one in April and one in July - July treatment due to slight rise in Caligus numbers observed. No other treatments conducted, company generally favour freshwater for sea lice treatments, however other methods are available -

chemotherapeutants and optilicer. Details of decision making process for choosing intervention not written into sea lice management plan - to look further into this.

Top nets and predator nets in place - no issues with predation except some bird pecking earlier in cycle which was prevented by tightening sagging top nets.

All records except movement records inspected by remotely.

Site Inspection and sampling by

Stock on site appeared in good condition, site manager reported that gill health had been good this year and lice numbers are low. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy. During FW treatments, the site have been splitting the fish over two wells to reduce stocking density during treatment. Site reported very low mortalities attributed to treatments.

Restructuring within the MA with three new site managers (one looks after Score Holms and West of Burwick, one looks after Langa Isle (East) and North Papa and the third looks after Setter Voe, which is operating out of old Skretting shed in Scalloway.

FW treatment due to be conducted beginning of week 39.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0079		Site No:	FS0948				
Date of Visit:		14/09/2020]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	9
Species	SAL							
Age group	s1							
No Fish	562,657							
Mean Fish Wt	1.928kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	March 2021		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Jan 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Date of last inspects Are records compts Are movement records compts Are records compts Are health certificator Transport Records Are any movement from the properties 	lete and corre cords available lete and corre ates for introd	e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on bel	h and waste? vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi				Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•		~40-100/cag	je/week				
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in								Y
If yes, detail:				delivery - poore	st fish and roเ	ıgh weather	during transfe	
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: Jan 2020 - Sept 2020	

ы	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by: FH	/I			
	Case no:	2020-00	079	Site No:		FS0948			Date of vis Sampling:		14/0	09/2020	14/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		н			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto				VMD No). [22
	Environmental conditions:	1	Windy	2	Wet	3	Cloudy	4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Г	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
Г	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	2.0000	2.0000	2.0000									
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
		ery	ery	ery									
		덡	닪	tch									
ails		T g	Ξ T	Та									
Details		ta	ta	ta									
		Girlsta Hatchery	Girlsta Hatchery	Girlsta Hatchery									
Stock	Stock Origin Facility No	2	3	<u>ე</u>									
S	I acility NO	2	J	5									

09/2020	09/2020 Additional Sample Information:												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0079		Site No:	FS0948		Insp:	
Date of Visit	14/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or			40		
species		ncluding third country	0			26	0
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	10
	Number of des		0			10	3
Exposure via water Water contacts with other	Earm is protect	Site contacts red (secure water supply through	0	1-5	6-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or		0				0
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	_	4		4
diseases)		n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		4
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstrean	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
		from zone or compartment of					0
	equivalent state	4				0	
	_	n from Category III farm n from Category ∀ farm	8				0
			10	<u> </u>			0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		T 0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		T 0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				3
	l.			J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2]			0
					Total		28
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0079	Site No.	FS0948
_	problems in the previous 4 years? rea (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzo	nge of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (pate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or medications (as well as access to suitable biological and/or medications) as well as access to suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as well as access to suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as well as access to suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and/or medications (as a suitable biological and/or medications) as a suitable biological and (as a suitable biological and a suitable biological and (as a suitable biological and a suitable biological and (as a suitable biological and a suitable biological and (as a suitable biological and a suitable biological and (as a suitable biological and a suitable biological and a suitable biological and (as a suitable biological and	echanical control measures, and
	le for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	V
	required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record le records are inspected? (CoGP Anne	evels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the ex 6)	CoGP during the period that Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice	e (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 o	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the	ne Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A
_	rel which is considered to cause significant welfare pro	
•	n administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmon</i> nere <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implic	
11. Has any other action been taken		N/A
•	ne actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice	
	I, carried out in cooperation between participating farm	
	the site, where fewer populations or part populations a	
•	management procedure with waypoints describing se	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed o	on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detai	i reasons.
Containment Inspection		
	nt damage due to predators in the current or previous	•
	against the predation experienced on site? (Detail belo	OW)
top nets predator ne	ts	
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events I	been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. I	If No skip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scott	dish Ministers?	
5. Have these been reported to local	DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, §	5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the S	SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they ex	xist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to rec	over escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.	action agreed with local wild fish interests and was pe 4.18)	rmission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent	and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	d in code but could
be considered under satisfactory		
	ory with regards to containment? If no, please detail rea	ason(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0079	Site No: FS0948	
Date of Visit: 14/09/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
2. Has a current farm management agr3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or state	red? Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimfarm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be intr	roduced to the area or
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the speci	nation requirements for stocks held in the are ies of fish which may be stocked into the are mum stocking density of any pen on any fare	ea or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	ngements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	y dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen	of Sea Lice nents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	nbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms co	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of availab arms?	le treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circulused on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls an farms?	nd cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	gements for synchronous treatments on far	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circularea or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	ngements for the movement of live fish on ar	nd off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify accepta	able harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be rest 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whethe agreement or statement?	r one or more year classes may be stocked onto r broodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Mana 24. Does the farm management agree parties to the agreement?	agement Agreements Only ement include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed ar 26. What is the version no/date of iss	nd operated in accordance with the agreement or ue of the FMAg/S? issue1 23/1/2020	r statement?

Site No: FS0948

Case No: 2020-0079

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0079			Date of visit	: 14/09/2020			
Site No:	FS0948			Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	19/10/2020							
	 							





Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd Gremista Lerwick Shetland ZE1 OPX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0440
 DATE OF VISIT
 14/09/2020

 SITE NO
 FS0948
 SITE NAME
 Score Holms

 INSPECTORS
 CASE NO
 20200079

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 19/10/20

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2020-0262			Date of visit: 01/09/2020					
Time spent on site:		Main Inspecto	r:					
Site No: FS1019 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Strondoir Bay The Scottish Salmon Company	/					
Case Types: 1 PSI 2	3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving for Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

Information from Mort event spread sheet;period;03/08/2020to 09/08/2020 average weight;3.1kg 1.48%mort/site/wk attributed to; Water quality/Plankton 8317

Period;10/08/2020 -16/08/2020 average wt;3.1 kg 1.03%morts/site/wk attributed to Water Quality/Plankton FHI comments; Spoke with health manager, samples have been taken, awaiting results, gill damage observed as well as observing fish twitching when brought up during mortality retrieval, potentially caused by a paralytic algal toxin. Freshwater treatment is planned.

Lump fish on site from Ocean Matters and Otterferry

Mortality had been very low since input until August. This week looks like mort level are decreasing. Fish still off feed. Every cage effected.

Morts; Dundas, whole in skips

Slice; 4 treatments, one in March 2020 and Dec 2019

Ongoing low level AGD.

Fish vet group results show Toxic algae shock. Higher levels of PGD (marked as 2) observed in fish. No unusual plankton observed on site. Oxygen reported as remaining normal. Fish observed high in cage, off food and remain off food. Dead and moribund fish appeared normal but with pale gills.

Estimate last week at 1.5%morts/wk/site but await confirmation once full week of figures available and will report should the full week figure be over 1%.

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0262		Site No:	FS1019		Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			0	40	200	
opeoies	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Number of dest	Site contacts			6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, <u> </u>	I -3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	oorehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	i I			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0]			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		21
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version	on 13		Issued	by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2020-026	2	Site No:	FS1019			
Date of case:	01/09/2020]		Inspector(s):		
Registration/A	Authorisation E)etails					
Business/site of	ontacts correct	? (if no update si	e summary she	et)		Y	
Site Details							
Total No faciliti	es	10	No facilities sto	ocked	9	9	
Species	sal	lump					
Age group	2019 S0	adult					
No Fish	480,000	45,000					
Mean Fish Wt		26g		Next Input Dat	o (Sito)	Sont 2021	
Next Fallow Da	ile (Sile)	May 2021			e (Site)	Sept 2021	
Date of last ins	pection: (ECI o	r PSI)	06/02/2019				
Mortality Infor	mation						
•	ncreased or aty	pical mortalities?	,			Y	
If yes, detail:				ncreased still to	be reported		
	ed mortalities? (since last inspec	tion.)			N	
If yes, detail: 3. How are mo	rtalities dispose	d of?			Whole fish - D	undas Chemicals	
If other detail:	italities dispose	<u>u 01 :</u>			WHOLE HISH - D	undas Onernicais	
If yes, detail:	Slice Hydrolicer 1 tr and Results of on site? (since AGD mal health surve	eatment Surveillance last inspection) eillance been car	ried out by, or o	n behalf of, the l	ousiness?	Y	
Sea lice 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? If yes, detail: 2. Have lice levels stayed below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP since the last inspection? Y If no, detail: 3. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b							
,	·	r/b 10/6/19) since reported to the F	•		see comment	N/A	
5. Does the site If yes, detail:	e have treatmer Salmosan	nt discharge cons	ents relevant to Slice	sea lice?	Alphamax	Y	
Farm Manager	nent Area (or e	ed farm manager quivalent)? ment Area (or equ					

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Escapes/Containment		
1 Any reported econogy (shock prior to phone col	1\	N

Escapes/Containment							
1. Any reported escapes? (check prior to phone call)							
2. Any escapes? (since last inspection)							
If yes, detail:							
(include date)							
Biosecurity Records							
1. Any significant changes to your biosecurity procedures since previous visit?	l	V					
If yes, detail:							

Case No:	2020-0262			Date of visit:	01/09/2020]		
Site No:	FS1019	l		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
, and the second	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
								op
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
PSI	07/10/2020		2 11130					
	0171072020							





The Scottish Salmon Company 1 Smithy Lane Lochgilphead Argyll PA31 8TA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0169 SITE NO FS1019 INSPECTOR DATE OF CASE 01/09/2020 SITE NAME Strondoir Bay CASE NO 20200262

Passive Surveillance Inspection

A notification of mortality levels above the reporting criteria was received by the Fish Health Inspectorate for August 2020.

Due to current government advice regarding the on-going situation with COVID-19 an inspection of the site could not be conducted. A passive surveillance inspection was conducted by telephone to gather information in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC. Information was also gathered in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice).

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated.

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 07/10/2020

Fish Health Inspector

R26

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0338			Date of visit: 14/09/2020
Time spent on site: 4.	5 hrs	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS0937 Business No: FB0440	Site Name: Business Name:	West of Burwick Grieg Seafood Shetland Li	td
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.1	Thermometer No:	T153	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional i	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Mortalities have been very low throughout cycle since stocking. Monitored daily by camera.

Sea lice counts very low. Sea lice skirts were in place prior to stocking and just recently removed. Two slice treatments administered one in April and one in July - July treatment due to slight rise in Caligus numbers observed. A wellboat bath treatment with salmosan also conducted for gill health and sea lice, a few leps observed and caligus. 100% clearance after treatment and improvement in gill health. Company generally favour freshwater for sea lice treatments, however other methods are available - chemotherapeutants and optilicer. Details of decision making process for choosing intervention not written into sea lice management plan -

Predator nets and top nets in place - no predator issues.

All records except movement records inspected by remotely.

Site inspection and sampling by

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy, site manager reported that gill health had imporved on previous so far. Fish reportedly growing well. FW treatments have been working well and site have been conducting these at lower stocking densities.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0338		Site No:	FS0937]			
Date of Visit:		14/09/2020			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	9
Species	SAL							
Age group	s1							
No Fish	423,626							
Mean Fish Wt	1.574kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	•	May 2021		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Jan 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are records comp Are movement red Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a system 	cords availab lete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	e for dead fisectly entered? luctions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa :half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	_			Y Y N/A Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (•		7-50/cage/w	eek				
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?								N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cl	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		ing ponou o						
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							N/A	
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Med	icines Records		
1. Recent treatments	(see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.		

Treatments and Medicines Records	
Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Jan 2020 - Sept 2020 Records checked between:

ni ube, version 13							ISS	uea by:	гпі			
Case no:	2020-03	338	Site No:		FS0937					14/0	09/2020	14/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI		l	
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	0:00	13:3	0:00						VMD No	o. [20
Environmental conditions:	1	Wet	2	Windy	3	Cloudy	4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
	1	2	3									
Pool Group												
Species	SAL											
Average weight	2.8000	2.8000	2.8000									
Sex												
Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Origin	Girlsta Hatchery	ت Girlsta Hatchery	∞ Girlsta Hatchery									
	Priority samples: Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species SAL Average weight 2.8000 Sex Water Type Stock Origin	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 13:00:00 13:3 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: I Wet 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 2.8000 2.8000 2.8000 Sex Water Type SW SW SW Stock Origin Stock Origin Site No: Add Fish/Pools - Click Stock Origin Site No: Add Fish/Pools - Click Stock Origin Stock Origin Site No: Add Fish/Pools - Click Stock Origin	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 13:00:00 13:30:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: I Wet 2 Windy Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 2.8000 2.8000 2.8000 Sex Water Type SW SW SW Stock Origin Stock Origin	Priority samples: VI	Priority samples:	Priority samples: VI	Date of Sampling	Case no: 2020-0338 Site No: FS0937 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2020-0338 Site No: FS0937 Date of visit/ Sampling: Date of visit/ Date of visit/	Case no: 2020-0338 Site No: FS0937 Date of visit/ Sampling: Time sampling 13:00:00 13:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. Starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Wet 2 Windy 3 Cloudy 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sampling: Total

09/2020	09/2020 Additional Sample Information:												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0338		Site No:	FS0937		Insp:	
Date of Visit	14/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	۵	18	26	0
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
N							40
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0			10 10	10 3
Exposure via water	indifficer of des	Site contacts				10	
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through		<u> </u>			
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	borehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
		or in a coastal zone with category III	<u>'</u>				
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V		,			
	tarms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the fales of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
		from zone or compartment of		1			
	equivalent stati		4				0
	_	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	n from Category ∀ farm	10]			0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0]			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			0
u.io uooooo to cugos	No		2	+			0
				J			3
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0338	Site N	No: FS0937
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems i 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ 3. Does the site have access to a range of licer azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as w 4. Is there a signed documented farm manager Management Area (or equivalent)?	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a sin need in-feed and bath sea lice medication ell as access to suitable biological and/or	s (including deltamethrin, mechanical control measures, and
Are sea lice count records available for inspect. Do records adequately reflect the required states.		P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in t	ne CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administ suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elo</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where ap 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried of 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where a site specific written lice managements. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks in	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see commer is considered to cause significant welfare parened or other actions taken when L. salm angatus is considered to have welfare importable)? Itaken had a significant impact upon the limit in cooperation between participating factories fewer populations or part population ment procedure with waypoints describing	ont. N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the top nets predator nets		
If other, detail below:		
 Have escape incidents or events been experifyes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministrations. Have these been reported to local DSFB for 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and 	to question 10 ers? thwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.3)	7, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agr Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	eed with local wild fish interests and was	permission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim be considered under satisfactory measure		red in code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with reg	gards to containment? If no, please detail	reason(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0338	Site No: FS0937	
Date of Visit: 14/09/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require compl	etion.	
 Has a current farm management agr Is the current FMAg/S available for ir Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far 	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or stater	red? Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be intr	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the speci	ation requirements for stocks held in the are ies of fish which may be stocked into the are mum stocking density of any pen on any farr	a or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran fish farm in the area or the individual fa	gements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice nents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requilice on farms in the area or individual fa	irements for the sensitivity testing of availabl arms?	e treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur used on farms in the area or individual	mstances under which biological controls an farms?	d cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	gements for synchronous treatments on farr	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circur area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of	issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest	t practices on farms in the area or i	ndividual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which	the area or individual farm will be f	fallow and the earliest	Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or mo agreement or statement?	ore year classes may be stocked on	nto sites covered by the	Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstoc covered by the agreement or statement?	k or potential broodstock are to be	kept on any site	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Age 24. Does the farm management agreement incluparties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be,	N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FN			Y

Case No:	2020-0338			Date of visit	: 14/09/2020			
04- N								
Site No:	FS0937			Inspector	:			
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
					-			
	1							
D10								
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	19/10/2020							





Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd Gremista Lerwick Shetland ZE1 OPX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0440Date of Visit14/09/2020Site NoFS0937Site NameWest of BurwickInspectorsCase No20200338

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

Date: 19/10/20

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020	
Case No: 2020-0345			Date of visit: 10/09/2020	
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main	Inspector:	
Site No: FS0434 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Ness Mowi Scotland Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 VMD 3	4 5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 13.9	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed	
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Site received fish from Inchmore FS0226 on Friday 28th and Sunday 30th August 2020. Post-transfer spike in mortalities in Wk35. Samples taken by operator showed no signs of an infectious challenge and mortality numbers dropped back to low levels within 24 hrs post transfer. main cause believed to be a combination of transport stress and environmental challenge. Mortality at this site is otherwise very low.

Site also received an input of fish from Inverpolly FS0264 in July 2020. Fish were vaccinated against ERM/RTFS prior to transfer and are to be vaccinated on site with Alphaject m6 and PD1 in Wk37 and Wk38. Fish will also be vaccinated against P.skyensis.

Site details, mortality records, treatment and medicine records, biosecurity records and results of health surveillance checked by NYL via Microsoft teams on 01/09/2020. Remainder of inspection carried out by WJM on 10/09/2020.

No moribund or lethargic fish observed during inspection of stock on site. Fish were responsive and appeared to be behaving as expected. Fish removed for VMD sampling at time of inspection showed no external or internal signs of disease and all had feed in the gut.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2020-0345		Site No:	FS0434				
Date of Visit:		10/09/2020	1		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	tive?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		18	Facilities sto	cked	13	No facilitie	s inspected	18
Species	SAL							
Age group	Q4							
No Fish	1.1million							
Mean Fish Wt	52g							
Next Fallow Date (Si		December 20	020	Next Input Da	te (Site)	July 2021	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:					,		,	
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						V
2. Date of last inspec		mapection:					09/10/2017	
3. Are records comp		actly antarad?	,				09/10/2017	Y
4. Are movement red		•						N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certification		•		ıble?				N/A
		aonono (oum	OD, availe				l	<u> </u>
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• •	•	•				N
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenand	ce of transpor	tation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records d	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Wk35; 14,49	0 (1.87%), Wk	34; 50 (0.01%	s), Wk33; 1	39 (0.02%), W	/k32; 121
5. Evidence of recen	it increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					Y
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:			'	
Higher mortalities ob	served in cag	ges 1, 2 and 3	post-transfer					
6. Any other peaks ir								Y
				2,808) of morta	lities came fro	m Pen 14 a	and was attrib	uted to
If yes, detail:		ess during tra		,				
7. Have increased (u				vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter o	details on morta	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
Formalin,
If yes, detail: T.M.S.
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
C. Have the bush and a condition account and a condition and add between each anidomical smith to maintain a
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
,
Results of Surveillance
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 10/06/2019 - 01/09/2020

Case no: 2020-0345 Site No: FS0434 Date of visit/ Sampling: 10/09/2020 Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 10:45:00 12:00:00 Inspector: VMD No. Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Inspector: VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool Group Inspector: Inspector: VI PA Total Sample		ii 000, version 10							100	ded by.				
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 10:45:00 12:00:00 Inspector: VMD No. Starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Inspector: VI PA Total Sample Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: VI PA Total Sample Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: VI PA Total Sample Pool/Fish No Inspector: Inspector: VI PA Total Sample		Case no:	2020-03	345	Site No:		FS0434					10/0	09/2020	
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Indoors 1-10 11-20 21-30 31-39 40-45 46-51		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG	-				
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Include the pool of th		. •	10:4	5:00	12:0	0:00	1	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. 	18
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No		Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No Image: Control of the contro		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Fish nos 1-10 11-20 21-30 31-39 40-45 46-51	A													
Pool Group		Pool/Fish No												
		Fish nos	1-10	11-20	21-30	31-39	40-45	46-51						
Species SAL SAL SAL SAL SAL SAL		Pool Group												
		Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL						
Average weight 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520 0.0520		Average weight	0.0520	0.0520	0.0520	0.0520	0.0520	0.0520						
Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Water Type FW FW FW FW FW		Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW						
Stock Origin Inverpolly (FS0264) Inchmore (FS0226) Inchmore (FS02264) Inchmore (FS					Inverpolly	Inchmore	Inchmore	Inchmore						
හි Facility No 1 2 3 10 11 12	Ś	racility No	1	2	3	10	11	12						

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infoi	mation:							
0	1	Total Te	ests ass	signed	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0345		Site No:	FS0434		Insp:	
Date of Visit	10/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	20	0
species	compartment in Number of supp	cluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	6
-	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	IFarm is a rate at	Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	eurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	. 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Pank		10 LOW
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0345	Site	No: FS0434
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed	•	ngle year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to	the site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoG	P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in	he CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. saln	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of	3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comme	nt.
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare	problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where $\it C.\ e$		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the l	ice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating f	arms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part population	ns are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	-	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please d	etail reasons.
Containment Inquestion		
Containment Inspection	re due to produtere in the current or provid	N N
 Has the site experienced equipment damage Are measures in place to mitigate against the 		
Top nets, tension nets	ne predation experienced on site: (Detail	Jelow)
If other, detail below:		
in other, detail below.		
Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site sir	ice the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.3	7, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where the	y exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was	permission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not cover	ered in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail	reason(s) Y

Site No: FS0434

Case No: 2020-0345

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):

Case No:	2020-0345			Date of visit:	10/09/2020			
Site No:	FS0434	1		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
ŕ	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
	1							
Report Summary			<u> </u>	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI/CNI/VMD	16/09/2020		2 11100					





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit 10/09/2020

 Site No
 FS0434
 Site Name
 Loch Ness

 Inspector
 Case No
 20200345

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 16/09/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0346			Date of visit: 08/09/2020
Time spent on site:	4.5 hrs	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1104 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Laddie Wood, Loch Garry Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 VMD 3 MIX	4 CNI 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.4	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Fish came onto site w/b 13/07/2020 from Inverpolly Hatchery. Fish will be moved onto Invasion Bay and Maclean's Nose Wk48, leaving this site fallow.

Fish have been vaccinated with Alphaject m6 and PD1 as well as against Pasterella skyensis. Post-vaccination bath treatments ongoing using Formalin and Cress.

Water very peaty so hard to see the fish. No fish hanging near the surface and once nets were lifted all fish looked to be behaving normally with no signs of disease or physical damage. Fish removed and sampled for vmd appeared in very good condition with no external or internal signs of disease.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2020-0346		Site No:	FS1104						
Date of Visit:		08/09/2020]		Inspector(s):			1		
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ils								
~			ite representa	ative?			Υ			
	•	, .					Υ			
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)							
Total No facilities				cked	14	No facilitie	s inspected	22		
Species	SAL						T .			
Species Age group No Fish No Fish Mean Fish Wt Sag Next Fallow Date (Site) December 2020 Next Input Date (Site) December 2020 Next Input Date (Site) December 2020 Next Input Date (Site) Novement Records Novement Records Novement Records Novement records available for inspection? Date of last inspection: Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records available for dead fish and waste? Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records available for dead fish and waste? Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records available for dead fish and waste? Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records available for dead fish and waste? Novement records complete and correctly entered? Novement records available for dead fish and waste?										
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections) Total No facilities Species SAL Qe group No Fish Mean Fish Wt Secent (last 4 wks) disease problems? Movement Records Movement records available for inspection? A re records complete and correctly entered? A re records complete and correctly entered?										
Mean Fish Wt										
Next Fallow Date (S		December 20	020	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	July 2021				
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N		
If yes, detail:						,	·			
3. Are records complete. 4. Are movement response for the second complete. 5. Are health certified. Transport Records 1. Are any movement.	plete and correctords available plete and correctords available plete and correctords for introduced services available plete and correctords services available plete and correctords services available plete available plet	e for dead fis ectly entered? uctions (outwork)	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us	not using a STB)?					
Mortality records		spection?						Υ		
2. How are mortaliti		•			Ensiled - on :	site				
If other detail:		•			21101104 0111	5.1.0				
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y		
4. Recent mortality	•			0.06%), Wk34	· 5 (0 00%) W	/k33· 3 (0 0	0%) Wk32· 2	0 (0 00%)		
5. Evidence of rece	•	typical mortal	, ,	0.0070), *******	, 0 (0.0070), 11	71.00. 0 (0.0	070), WK02, 2	N		
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:						
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	acked?					N		
If yes, detail:	in mortality du	ing period of	iconou:					I IV		
7. Have increased (•	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A		
If yes, detail action:		=	216					N1/A		
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	corted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		N/A		

Treatments and Me	ladicines Records	
		Y
1. Necent treatments		•
If yes detail:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ii yos, actaii.	Are medicines stored appropriately? Sosecurity Records	
If other detail:		
·		Y
	·	Y
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
If other, detail:	(Bronopol)	
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	rds	
	•	Y
		Y
•		Y
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Y
	•	Y
health status, certific	ication if required)?	
6. Have the husband	ndry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
		Y
8. Have the biosecur	urity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
·		
	·	Y
	·	Y
, ,		N
If yes, detail (if not de	detailed under recent disease problems).	
	Records checked between: 02/09/2019 - 02/09/2020	
	Records checked between: 02/09/2019 - 02/09/2020	

Priority samples: VI	٠.	ii 059, version 15							133	ueu by.				
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 11:45:00 13:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. Summary samples: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5 Summary samples: HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No 1-9 10-18 19-28 29-37 38-46 47-55 56-64 16-44 <td></td> <td>Case no:</td> <td>2020-03</td> <td>346</td> <td>Site No:</td> <td></td> <td>FS1104</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>08/0</td> <td>09/2020</td> <td>08/0</td>		Case no:	2020-03	346	Site No:		FS1104					08/0	09/2020	08/0
Starts/ends:		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	-	_			
Pool/Fish No		. •	11:4	5:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	21
Pool/Fish No		Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Fish nos 1-9 10-18 19-28 29-37 38-46 47-55 56-64 Pool Group Species SAL SA	A													
Pool Group		Pool/Fish No												
Species SAL		Fish nos	1-9	10-18	19-28	29-37	38-46	47-55	56-64					
Species SAL		Pool Group												
Sex N/A		Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL					
Sex N/A		Average weight	0.0580	0.0580	0.0580	0.0580	0.0580	0.0580	0.0580					
			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
FS0264) FS0264) FS0264) FS0264) FS0264) FS0264)		Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW					
	siis		Inverpolly (FS0264)	Inverpolly (FS0264)	IIIy (FS0264)	IIIy (FS0264)	Inverpolly (FS0264)	IIIy (FS0264)	Inverpolly (FS0264)					
Stock Origin Stock Origin Nerpolly (Ner		I .	nverpo	nverpo	nverpo	nverpo	nverpo	nverpo	nverpo					
Stock Origin 호 호 호 호 호 호 호 Facility No 4 10 13 15 17 19 21 19 21 10	Sto	Facility No												

TTH 000, VEISIC	11 13						133	ueu by.		
09/2020 Additio	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0	Total T	ests ass	igned	0						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0346		Site No:	FS1104		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	6
Wovernerits on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	,	Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10	 -	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of	1				
		i from Category III farm	8				
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	T 0	: 			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Ιο	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		10
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0346	Site No	FS1104
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed	•	e vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v		· ·
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP?	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the	e CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare pr	oblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been administrated criteria for treatment or where $\it C.e.$		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice	e levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating farm	ms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations	are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage		
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta	ail reasons.
Containment Inspection		
Has the site experienced equipment damage	ne due to predators in the current or previous	s production cycles?
Are measures in place to mitigate against the second		
Electric Fence, Tensioned Nets, Top Nets	·	
If other, detail below:		
Predator nets are available for use, but not cu	rrently deployed on site.	
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37$,	5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	I local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they e	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pe	ermission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covere	ed in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail re	eason(s) Y

Site No: FS1104
Case No: 2020-0346
Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0346			Date of visit:	08/09/2020						
Site No:	FS1104	1		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI/CNI/VMD	16/09/2020		2 IIISP								
MIX	01/10/2020										
	1										





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119
SITE NO FS1104
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 08/09/2020
SITE NAME Laddie Wood, Loch Garry
CASE NO 20200346

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0347			Date of visit: 09/09/2020
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: FS1269 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Lochailort Recirculation Ha Mowi Scotland Ltd	tchery
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 VMD 3 MIX	4 CNI 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 14.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet. Information/clinical score sheet. Information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site generally receives 4 ova intakes per year from Ireland, Iceland and/or Norway. Current stock on site was received in 2 inputs from Iceland on 16/03/2020 and 11/05/2020.

Fish were vaccinated with Alphaject m6 and PD1 in June 2020.

Q1 Parr will be moved off site between January and March 2021 to be ongrown at Loch Lochy and Loch Arkaig.

Site details, mortality records, treatment and medicine records, biosecurity records and results of health surveillance checked by NYL via microsoft teams on 03/09/2020. Remainder of inspection carried out by WJM on 09/09/2020.

All fish on site appeared in good health. No clinical signs of disease noted and no gross pathology observed on internal examination of fish sampled for vmd.

Water temperature taken from site temperature monitoring system for biosecurity reasons. Full ECI inspection carried out but is non-compliant as water temperature currently being held at 14.5oC.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0347		Site No:	FS1269				
Date of Visit:		09/09/2020	1		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		56	Facilities sto	cked	19	No facilitie	s inspected	56
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	Parr	Fry						
No Fish		3,257,929						
Mean Fish Wt	30.6g	1.52g						
Next Fallow Date (S		No plan.		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	November	2020	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:							,	
The second December								
Movement Records		· is an action?						V
1. Movement record		inspection?					25/20/2010	1
2. Date of last inspec		()					05/02/2019	V
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement rec								N/A
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	uctions (outw	<i>i</i> ith GB) availa	able?				Y
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:	o diopersu				monio, also	OIT OIL		
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•	0055,		27 (0.24%), Wk	(34. 7.221 (0.1	16%) Wk33	• 10 566 (0.2	3%) Wk32:
5. Evidence of recen		typical mortal		.1 (0.2470), 111.	104, 1,221 (0.1	070), TTROO	, 10,000 (0.20	N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
II yes, racinty 1103/110	mortality per	raciiity/110 3to	ck per facility/	leason.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
,		• .		levins in one ha	atchery lost du	ie to human	error that res	sulted in the
If yes, detail:		pump stoppin		04.11.0 11. 0.1.0	atomony issues	10 10 110	01101111111	Juliou II. II.
7. Have increased (u			•	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		Y
								4

Treatments and Me	adicinas Pacards	
1. Recent treatments		Y
1. Necent treatments	Formalin,	•
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	
ii yes, detaii.	Cress	
If other, detail:	(Bronopol)	
·	Is available for inspection?	Y
	plete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdo	·	Y
5. If yes, what treatm		
	Cress	
If other, detail:	(Bronopol)	
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	ds	
· ·	ds available for inspection?	Y
	and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
•	ined) mortality at the site been included?	Y
	at will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	cluded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
	atus of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	cation if required)?	
6. Have the husband	dry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	ease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals		
8. Have the biosecur	urity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveilla		
	nealth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
· ·	available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant res		N
If yes, detail (if not de	detailed under recent disease problems).	
R	Records checked between: 05/02/2019 - 03/09/2020	

	ii 009, versiori 15							100	ided by. i				
	Case no:	2020-03	347	Site No:		FS1269			Date of		09/0	09/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:4	5:00	16:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	44
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-16	17-32	33-49	50-68	69-91	92-110	111-133	134-154				
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL		SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL				
	Average weight		0.0350			0.0350			0.0350				
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW				
tock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	45 Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	8 Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	& Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	15 Stofnfiskur (Iceland)	Stofnfiskur (Iceland)				
Ű,	Tradinty 140	1 0	71	77	70	70	73	JI	JZ				

	Additional Sample Information:													
0	1	Total Te	ests ass	signed	0	l								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0347		Site No:	FS1269		Insp:	
Date of Visit	09/09/2020		No of me	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
ороскоо Станата и постава	Number of sup	cluding third country	0		10	14	5
Mayana anto aff			1 0		6	10	10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water		Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V	1	3	6		
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		34 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0347	Site N	lo: FS1269
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed		gle vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to t	he site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGF	P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in th	ne CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. saln	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3	3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see commen	ıt.
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare p	oroblems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where C . e		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lic	ce levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating fa	rms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part populations	s are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints describing	set actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please de	tail reasons.
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	go due to produtore in the current or proviou	us production evelog?
Are measures in place to mitigate against the same and the same and the same are same as a same and the same are same as a same are same as a same are same as a same are same are same as a same are same ar		
Rent o' kill Site indoors	The predation experienced on site: (Detail b	i i
If other, detail below:		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	ce the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37	' , 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was p	permission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not cover	red in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	es of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail	reason(s) Y

Site No: FS1269

Case No: 2020-0347
Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Casa Na	2020 0247			Data	00/00/0	220						
Case No:	2020-0347	J		Date of vi	isit: 09/09/20	020						
Site No:	FS1269]		Inspec	tor:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification										
results Summary	1 164.	Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd				
					-	. 3						
	_											
		1										
	_	1										
	+	1										
Report Summary				7								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	-								
ECI/CNI/VMD	16/09/2020		Z IIIƏP									
MIX	08/10/2020)										





Mowi Scotland Ltd Stob Ban House Glen Nevis Business Park Fort William PH33 6RX

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 DATE OF VISIT 09/09/2020
SITE NO FS1269 SITE NAME Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery
INSPECTOR CASE NO 20200347

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	ssued by: FHI		Date of	f issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0364				Date of visit:	14/09/2020
Time spent on site:	.5 hours		Main Inspector	r:	
Site No: FS1328 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Smolt Scottish Sea Far			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 13.5	Thermometer No:	Site	_	FHI 045 compl	leted
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see a	dditional inforn	mation/clinical so mation/clinical so mation/clinical so	core sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:			

Paperwork completed remotely by DJM on 09/09/2020

Movement records now kept in Excel spreadsheet instead of physical book

Mortality Last 4 weeks

Week 36 - 517 - 0.03% Week 35 - 258 - 0.02%

Week 34 - 108 - 0.01%

Week 33 - 124 - 0.01%

Medicines stored in secure area.

Cress (Bronopol) and Aquacen (Formalin) used post vaccination.

Site inspected on 14/09/2020 by JET accompanied by APHA vet as part of APHA's professional development programme. Movement records copies taken.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0364		Site No:	FS1328				
Date of Visit:		14/09/2020]		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite represent	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made t	•	Í	·				N	j
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	ocked	16	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL							
Age group	19/20 OVA							
No Fish	1,597,623							
Mean Fish Wt	118g							
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	Continuously	/ stocked	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Nov/Dec 2	2020	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	ls							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							05/11/2019	
3. Are records com		ectly entered?	•					ΙΥ
4. Are movement re		•		?				Y
5. Are records com								Y
6. Are health certific				able?				N/A
Transport Record	s							
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bi	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a sys		• '		,	•			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		nspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti		•			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	,		nal information				
5. Evidence of rece	` ,	typical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/ne		* *		//reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased ((unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			on roportou t	700011111				,,, (
8. Have 'mortality e		ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		N/A
J. Haro mortality 6		p 3/100 to 1 1 11	, טוונטו	a stand on more	unity of or the or			,,,,

Treatments and Me	edicines Reco	ords					
1. Recent treatments							Y
If yes, detail:	` _	Tricane	Aquacen	Cress 50			
If other, detail:		THOUSE	71944001.	0.000.00			
2. Medicines records	s available for	inspection?					Y
3. Are records comp		•	?				Y
4. Are fish in a withd							Y
5. If yes, what treatm	•			Tricane	Aquacen	Cress 50	
If other, detail:					7 1 1 1	0.000	
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropria	tely?					Y
		,					-
Biosecurity Record	ds						
Biosecurity record		r inspection?	,				Y
2. Has the manner a		•		ording and saf	e disposal bee	en considered?	Y
	•	•		~	•	ry professional of any	
increased (unexplain						, ,	Y
					icion of the pr	esence of a listed disea	ise
is detected been inc							Y
5. Has the health sta	atus of aquacu	ılture animal	s being stock	ed on the farr	n site been co	vered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	cation if require	ed)?					
						ological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of dise	ase been cove	ered (movem	nent of staff,	visitors, equip	ment, live or d	ead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation		arding the me	easures in pla	ace to maintai	n the physical	containment of	Y
aquaculture animals							
8. Have the biosecur	rity procedures	s been adeq	uately implen	nented on site	?		Y
If no, detail:							
Results of Surveilla							
1. Has any animal he			rried out by, o	or on behalf o	f, the business	3?	Y
2. If yes, are results		nspection?					Y
3. Any significant res							N
If yes, detail (if not d	etailed under	recent disea	se problems)).			
F	Records check	ked between	:	05/11/2019	- 14/09/2020		

٠.	11 059, VEISIOII 13							155	ueu by. i				
	Case no:	2020-03	364	Site No		FS1328			Date of Samplin		14/0	09/2020	14/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:4	5:00	16:1	5:00	1	Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	11
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20	21-22						
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL						
	Average weight	130g	130g	130g	130g	130g	130g						
	Sex												
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW						
Stock Details		5-13 Barcaldine Pre-Smolt	Barcaldine Pre-Smolt										
S	r acility NO	E1-Z	E1-7	E1-8	E2-2	E2-3	E2-7						

rni 059, veisio	11 13								155	ueu by.	ГПІ		
09/2020 Additional Sample Information:													
0	Total Te	ests ass	igned	0									
													•

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0364		Site No:	FS1328		Insp:	
Date of Visit	14/09/2020		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone of acluding third country		9	18	26	
	Number of supp		C			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		C	3	6	10	6
Wovernonie on	Number of dest		C		6	10	6
Exposure via water		Site contact	ts 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	C				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent water	rs C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro-		С				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	4			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	C	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	C	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of site	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	C	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	C	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		C				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		C				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		C				0
	No		2				
					Total		19
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0364	Site N	lo: FS1328
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed		gle vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice		
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to t	the site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGF	P? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels believed are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the	ne CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salr.	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of	3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see commen	nt.
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare p	problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have the rapeutic treatments been administrated criteria for treatment or where $\it C.\ e$		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the li	ce levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating fa	rms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part population	s are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	-	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please de	tail reasons.
Containment Inspection		
Has the site experienced equipment damage	re due to predators in the current or previou	is production cycles?
Are measures in place to mitigate against the same of the sam		
Site indoors	To production exponention on one. (Botain b	i i
If other, detail below:		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site sind	ce the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37	7, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was p	permission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not cove	red in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur	es of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail	reason(s) Y

Site No: FS1328

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Case No: 2020-0364

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0364			Date of visit:	14/09/2020]				
Site No:	FS1328	l		Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
Report Summary			- 1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI,CNI,VMD	21/09/2020									





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS1328 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 14/09/2020
SITE NAME Barcaldine Smolt Unit
CASE No 20200364

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 21/09/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0365			Date of visit: 10/09/2020
Time spent on site:	30 minutes	Main	Inspector:
Site No: SS0740 Business No: SB0473	Site Name: Business Name:	Scottish Association f	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.9	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· ·	N If yes, see addition	onal information/clinical score sheet. onal information/clinical score sheet. onal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detai	il reason below:	

Paperwork completed remotely by DJM on 10/09/2020

P.lividus - Sea Urchin

Currently all mortalities are stored within a freezer on site, they don't dispose of morts from the freezer at the moment, but are looking for a method of disposal in the future. Permission will be sought from FHI before waste is moved off site.

In the last 4 weeks there has only been one mortality (tank 9 - 28 Aug) - All native oysters on site have been sampled as of first week in September 2019, currently there are no live native oysters being kept on site. Plan to restock with native oysters in Dec 2020. Permission will be sought from FHI before oysters are brought on site.

Site inspected by JET on 17/09/2020 Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0365]	Site No:	SS0740				
Date of Visit:		10/09/2020			Inspector(s):			i
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	itive?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		60	Facilities stoo	cked	16	No facilitie	s inspected	60
	MED	P.lividus	E.esculentu					
Species			S					
Age group	Mixed	Mixed	Mixed					
No Fish	50	175	70					
Mean Fish Wt	mixed	Mixed	Mixed		(2::)			
Next Fallow Date (S		Continuously	/ stocked	Next Input Da	_ ` ′	December		N. I
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last \	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec	ction:						19/02/2020	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement red	cords availab	le for dead fis	h and waste?					N/A
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	<i>i</i> ith GB) availa	ıble?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not usi	ng a STB)?			
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	tation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Mortality sto	red in freezer	on site.					
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Last 4 weeks	1 mortality.				
5. Evidence of recer		* *						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring pariod of	and and a					Y
If yes, detail:		<u> </u>		d ramound 10th	of fab 2020			'
7. Have increased (u				d removal. 19th	01 leb 2020			N/A
If yes, detail action:	illexplained) i	Hortanties be	en reported to	ver or i i i :				14/7 (
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	ported to FHI	2 If no enter (details on mort	ality events sh	eet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	CITES DECITION	ported to 1 111	: II IIO, CITICI C	actails on more	anty events on	CCI.		,,

Tuestments and Madieirae December		
Treatments and Medicines Records	·	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	L	
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording an	·	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish M	inisters or veterinary professional of any	· ·
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or		· ·
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the	arm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between	een each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, e		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to ma	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented or	n site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on beh	alf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
	•	
Records checked between: 19/02/2	2020-10/09/2020	

TTITO59, VEISIOIT 15	issued by. I i ii			Date	: UI 133UE. 12
Case Number:	2020-0365 Site No:	SS074	0]	
Date of Visit	10/09/2020 Inspector:		l		
Number of Susceptil	ole species on site				
If no susceptible spec	ies present = <u>LOW</u> risk				
If susceptible species	present, score for each pathogen		No	Yes	
	Susceptible to Bonamia ostrea (OED)		0	25	25
	Susceptible to Marteilia refringens (OED, MED)		0	3	3
	Susceptible to OsHV (CGI)		0	3	0
Sites within a tidal e	xcursion	1	2-5	>6	
Site contacts	Number of sites holding susceptible species within a tidal excursion	0	2	10	2
Live shellfish moven	nents	0	1-2	>3	
Movements on	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	10	20	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off within MSS Management Areas	0	1	2	0
	Frequency of movements off <u>outwith</u> MSS Management Areas	0	3	6	0
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	0
Management practices		None	Secure (effluent treatment)	Unsecure (no effluent treatment)	
Water contacts with depuration facilities	Depuration of stock from own sites within MSS management area	0	1	2	0
	Depuration of stock from other businesses sites within MSS management area	0	2	6	0
	Depuration of stock from sites outwith MSS management area	0	4	8	0
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4	
Contacts with other	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
sites	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	5	1
			Yes	No	
	Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbath	ns etc	0	2	0
			Total Risk		32 HIGH

Case No:	2020-0365			Date of visit:	10/09/2020]					
Site No:	SS0740	l		Inspector:		ı					
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary			1	1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI,CNI	17/09/2020		Z INSP								
201,0111	11700/2020										





Scottish Association for Marine Science Scottish Association for Marine Science Dunbeg by Oban Argyll PA37 1QA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No SB0473 **DATE OF VISIT** 17/09/2020

SITE NO SS0740 SITE NAME Scottish Association for

Marine Science

INSPECTOR CASE NO 20200365

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 21/09/2020

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/202			
Case No: 2020-0366			[Date of visit:	11/09/2020	
Time spent on site:	.5 hours	Ma	ain Inspector:			
Site No: FS0270 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Avich Kames Fish Farmin	ng Ltd			
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5		6		
Water Temp (°C): 13.7	Thermometer No:	T147		FHI 045 compl	eted	
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:				

Paperwork (excluding movement records), completed remotely by DJM on 11/09/2020

Site was culled at end of last cycle due to issues with furunculosis. Site was fallow on 19.10.2020

BMP updated with information regarding the notification of FHI upon suspicion of listed disease.

Fish only input last week so no health surveillance carried out yet. Fish are doing well post input so far, minimal mortalities

Site inspected by JET on 15/09/2020.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0366		Site No:	FS0270				
Date of Visit:		11/09/2020			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta			te representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	n for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	2	No facilitie	s inspected	8
Species	SAL							
Age group	S1's							
No Fish	244,000							
Mean Fish Wt	29g							
Next Fallow Date (S		February 202	21	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	August 202	21	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	•							
1. Movement record		inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		Поросион					09/10/2020	-
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?					00/10/2020	Y
4. Are movement re		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		bv (or on bel	nalf) of the bu	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a sys		• •		•	•			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ir	spection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (detail)		-
If other detail:	Ensiles off si				O 11 10 1 (0.010.11)	/		
3. Mortality records			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	•		nly put into site	last week, 27	4 fish (0.119	%) Transport	
5. Evidence of recer	•			, par		(01117	yoy rransport	N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
, ,	71	,						
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					Y
If yes, detail:				revious cycle (Oct 2020			
7. Have increased (•						Y
If yes, detail action:		FHI notified						
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	L	Υ
Piecesurity Pecerdo		
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, record	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Sci	•	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	ottisti wiinisters of veterinary professional of arry	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the prese	ance or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be not	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked		Y
health status, certification if required)?	a on ano nanni ono occinion (equal or ingilio	
C. Have the bush and world his account, and a second	ad batusas acab anidaminlariad unit to minimina	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemente transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, vis		<u> </u>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place aquaculture animals held on site?	e to maintain the physical containment of	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implementations.	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or	on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between:	09/10/2020 - 15/09/2020	

	1111 000, Version 10					133ueu by. 1 1 II							
	Case no:	2020-03	366	Site No:		FS0270			Date of Samplin		11/0	09/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:1	5:00	15:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	6
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Calm	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Fish nos	1-15	16-30										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	0.0300	0.0300										
	Sex												
	Water Type	FW	FW										
Stock Details		Allt Mhor and Kilcalmonell	Allt Mhor and Kilcalmonell										
Š	Facility No	1	3										

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infoi	mation:							
0	1	Total To	ests ass	signed	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0366		Site No:	FS0270		Insp:	
Date of Visit	11/09/2020		No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
'	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	3
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	,	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of	4				
		rfrom Category III farm	8	1			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	Ī			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0	Ì			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		12
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued b	у: FHI	Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0366		Site No:	FS0270	
Sea Lice Inspection (S	Seawater Sites Only)				
	nced sea lice problems in	the previous 4 years?			
·	anagement Area (or equ	•		ear class basis?	
3. Does the site have a	ccess to a range of licen	ced in-feed and bath se	ea lice medications (inc		
and the and her desired	cumented farm managem	t 11 0	_		
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for inspe	ction? (Legal SSL CoC	P Annex 6)		
	·	`	•	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?		v the suggested criteria	for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult f	emale sea lice (<i>L. salmo</i>	onis) numbers per fish l	been at a level of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	
-	reported to the Fish Hea			,	
•	•	•		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
10. Have therapeutic tre	eatments been administe	ered or other actions ta	ken when L. salmonis	levels have exceeded the ions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	
	n been taken (where app		·	,	
•	eatments or the actions t	•	impact upon the lice le	vels recorded?	
·	ere conducted, carried ou	•			
		•	• •	held without treatment for	
	~ ~.	• •		actions to deal with recognised	
·	els observed on stocks re	•	•	·	
10.20 11.0 000 1100		moor oou noo oount da	ar ii iio prodos dotaii i		•
Containment Inspection	on				
_	nced equipment damage	due to predators in the	current or previous pr	oduction cycles?	N
	ce to mitigate against the				Υ
Bird nets	Otter fencing	Weighted nets	Predator nets	,	
If other, detail below:					
Have escape incide	nts or events been exper	ienced on or in the vici	nity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
·	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to			·	
	oorted to Scottish Ministe	•			
	orted to local DSFB forth		? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	l.17)	
·		•	•	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any	y) used to recover escap	ees? If yes give detail			
0.16 -: 11 - 1			-11-	destruction 1 0 mm	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG	·		·	·	
9. What action was take	en to prevent and minimi	se the risk of further es	capes? (Not covered i	n code but could	
be considered under	satisfactory measures	s of the Act)			
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with rega	ards to containment? If	no, please detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Case No: Date of visit: 11/09/2020 Site No: FS0270 Inspector: Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp	2 nd Insp
Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification	2 nd Insp
Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp	2 nd Insp
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp	
ECI,CNI,VMD 15/09/2020	





Kames Fish Farming Ltd Kilmelford Oban Argyll PA34 4XA

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0134
SITE NO FS0270
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 15/09/2020 SITE NAME Loch Avich CASE NO 20200366

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 21/09/2020

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/





Scottish Sea Farms Ltd Laurel House Laurelhill Business Park Polmaise Road Stirling FK7 9JQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 SITE NO FS0299 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT 16/09/2020
SITE NAME Dunstaffnage
CASE NO 20200367

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 21/09/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Į:	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2020-0367			Date of visit: 16/09/2020					
Time spent on site:	2.5 hours	Main Insp	pector:					
Site No: FS0299 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Dunstaffnage Scottish Sea Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 13.7	Thermometer No:	T147	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-36					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Paperwork (excluding movement records), completed remotely by JET on 11/09/2020

Farm management agreement with Mowi Scotland Ltd in place. Scottish Sea Farms hold a memorandum of understanding and farm management statement with Dawnfresh farming Ltd, the other operator in the area. Risk assessment in place for the management area not being fallow, due to continuous stocking by Dawnfresh - Businesses share sea lice data and treatment plans as well as visits between sites.

Site inspected by JET on 16/09/2020 Movement records inspected and copies taken. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0367	J	Site No:	FS0299	9			
Date of Visit:		16/09/2020	0		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	sh for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		9	Facilities sto	cked	9	No faciliti	es inspected	9
Species	SAL	WRA						
Age group	S1 2020	2020						
No Fish	302,439	10,000						
Mean Fish Wt		Mix						
	1.7 kg		24	Novt Input D	oto (Cito)	April 2021	2	
Next Fallow Date (S	•	October 202	<u> </u>	Next Input D	_ ` ′	April 2022		l N
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	iems?		l I	Any escape	es (since last	t VISIt)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available fo	or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							07/11/2018	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?				0171172010	Y
4. Are movement red		•		•				N/A
5. Are records comp								N/A
6. Are health certifications		•		able?				N/A
o. Are nealth certific	ales ioi iiilio	ductions (out	WILLI GD) avalla	adie :				IN/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	ut by (or on be	ehalf) of the bu	ısiness (not us	sing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place	for maintenar	nce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records	avoilable for	inanastian?						
1. Mortality records a		•			In aire a nata al			
2. How are mortalities If other detail:	es aisposea ()			Incinerated	- on site		
3. Mortality records of	complete and	d correctly ent	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (Í		238 (0.08%). v	v/b 17/08 - 26	69 (0.09%). v	w/b 24/08 - 225	55 (0.74%).
5. Evidence of recer		atypical morta				(0.00,70),		Υ
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
w/b 24/08 - 2255 (0.	74%) - attribi	uted to physic	al damage fro	m Caligus. Mo	ortality fell du	ring subsequ	uent week. Slic	e treatment
reduced Caligus bur								
6. Any other peaks in								Y
If you dotail:			tributed to gill	issues, morta	lity fell during	subsequent	week. Site wa	s harvested
If yes, detail:	out the follo							
7. Have increased (u	iriexplained)	bear and the second sec		vet or FHI?				Y
If yes, detail action:		FHI notified		1	. 12			
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	eported to FH	I? If no, enter of	details on moi	tality events	sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Red	cords		
1. Recent treatments (see comm	nent)?		Y
If yes, detail:	Slice T.M.S		
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records available fo	or inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and corn	rectly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period	l?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		T.M.S.	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored appropri	iately?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
1. Biosecurity records available for	or inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency	y of mortality removal, recor	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in	which the APB will notify So	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortalit	ty at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be take	en in the event that the pres	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and ho	ow and when that will be no	tified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquac	culture animals being stocke	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if requi	ired)?		
		ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been cov	vered (movement of staff, v	isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available reg	garding the measures in pla	ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site	?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedure	es been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health surveilla	ance been carried out by, or	r on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for	inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?			N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under	r recent disease problems).		
Records ched	cked between:	07/11/2018 - 16/09/2020	

	1111 000, Version 10					issued by. I Til							
	Case no:	2020-03	367	Site No:		FS0299			Date of v		16/0	09/2020	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:0	0:00	11:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	18
	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Calm	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РА		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	2.0000	2.0000	2.0000									
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
		=	<u> </u>	±									
		Barcaldine Smolt Unit	Barcaldine Smolt Unit	Smolt									
		\overline{\sigma}	\overline{\sigma}	S									
Details		line	line Hine	Barcaldine Unit									
)et		alc	alc	alc									
		Barc Unit	Barc Unit	Barc Unit									
Stock	Stock Origin Facility No	<u>m</u> ⊃		<u>a</u> ⊃									
S	II acility NO	S	4	1									

	Additional Sample Information:												
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued b	y: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2020-0367			Site No:	FS0299		Insp:	
Date of Visit	16/09/2020			No of me	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements				0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS				5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			0	9	18	26	
·	Number of supp			0	-	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	ovements off		0	3	6	10	10
Wovernonte on	Number of desti			0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		S	ite contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with o or within 1 tidal excursion	1	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with o or within 1 tidal excursion	1	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with or within 1 tidal excursion		1	4	8		
Management practices				None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adj	acent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm proc			0				0
	Processing own	fish (re-cycling risk)		1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent sta	tus	2				
		from zone or compartmer	nt of	1				
	equivalent statu Processing fish	from Category III farm		8				
		from Category V farm		10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own waste	e only processed.		0	<u>.</u> 			0
products	Common proces	sses with other farms		3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farm	S	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of ur	npasteurised feed		0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpast	eurised feed		5				
Biosecurity		Nun	nber of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase		0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing sta	aff and equipment		0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No			1				
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes			0				0
code of practice	No			3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			0				0
	No			2				
						Total		16
						Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2020-0367	Site No: FS0299							
Sea Lice Inspecti	on (Seawater Sites Only)								
1. Has the site exp	perienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	N						
2. Is the CoGP Fa	rm Management Area (or eq	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?	N						
		nced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, vell as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and	Υ						
4. Is there a signe Management Area		ment agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm	Υ						
5. Are sea lice cou	ınt records available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ						
6. Do records ade	quately reflect the required s	tandard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Υ						
	salmonis) record levels belocted? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that	Υ						
8. Have average a	idult female sea lice (<i>L. salm</i>	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N						
If yes, have these	been reported to the Fish He	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	N/A						
9. Is C. elongatus	infestation at a level which i	s considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N						
		tered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the ongatus is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A						
•	action been taken (where ap		N/A						
•		taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?	N/A						
		out in cooperation between participating farms?	Υ						
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for									
	15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised Y								
16. Do the sea lice	e levels observed on stocks i	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	Υ						
Containment Ins	pection								
1. Has the site exp	perienced equipment damag	e due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?	N						
2. Are measures in	n place to mitigate against th	e predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ						
If other, detail be	elow:								
•	•	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?	N						
If Yes proceed wit	h questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10							
4. Have these bee	n reported to Scottish Minist	ers?							
	·	thwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)							
6. Have these bee	n reported to the SSPO and	local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)							
7. Were methods	(if any) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail							
	deployed was this action agr CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish							
9. What action wa	s taken to prevent and minin	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could							
be considered u	inder satisfactory measure	es of the Act)							
	•	gards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Υ						

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS0299	Date 01 155ue. 12/05/2020
2020 0007	site No.	
Date of Visit: 16/09/2020	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located wi	thin a farm management area?	Υ
f N, no further questions require complet	ion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm M	lanagement Agreements and Statements	S
2. Has a current farm management agree	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insp	•	Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	•	Y
	commencement of the agreement or statem	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	——————————————————————————————————————	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ment	
B. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum	n health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
arm?	ion was increased for atacks hald in the area	ou form?
	ion requirements for stocks held in the area s of fish which may be stocked into the area	
	um stocking density of any pen on any farm	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
ish farm in the area or the individual farm	n?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	nts for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabing statement?	ility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
ice on farms in the area or individual farm		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual far	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	inns? ements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18 Does the FMAg/S identify the circums	stances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the Y
area or farm?	nances when live non may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

Arvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms? Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? Man-20 Man-20	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?		harvest practices on farms in the area or indi-	vidual farms?
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b		
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on		sites covered by the Y
24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement? Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro	podstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ot on any site
25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?	24. Does the farm management agreeme		me, or cease to be,
	25. Is the fish farm being managed and o		statement? Y

Site No: FS0299

Case No: 2020-0367

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2020-0367	1		Date of visi	4. 16/00/20	120						
Case No.	2020-0307	J		Date of visi	10/09/20	120						
Site No:	FS0299]	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notif							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
			_									
			-									
			_									
	_		_									
	 											
			_									
			_									
			-									
			_									
Report Summary												
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	21/09/2020											
	1											

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2020-0368			Date of visit: 17/09/2020					
Time spent on site:	10 minutes	Main Inspec	etor:					
Site No: SS0200 Business No: SB0130	Site Name: Business Name:	Rubha Ban (Site 3) Muckairn Mussels						
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								
Site fallow								

No movements on or off site since last inspection.

Site has been fallow for several years due to presence of Mytilus trossulus in Loch Etive making commercial production of Mytilus edulis non-viable. Site owner may look to develop site for seaweed cultivation in future.

Site location inspected by boat, lines and raft have been removed. Mooring buoys remain in place.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2020-0368		Site No:	SS0200					
Date of Visit:		17/09/2020			Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails							
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	o details?		·				Υ		
Site Details (include	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No faciliti	es inspected	0	
Species	Fallow								
Age group									
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt									
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	N/a		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	N/A			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last	t visit)?	N/A	
If yes, detail:									
Movement Record	le.								
Movement record		r inepaction?						N/A	
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					15/07/2014	14// (
3. Are records com		ectly entered	>				13/01/2014	N/A	
4. Are movement re	•	•		•				N/A	
5. Are records com								N/A	
6. Are health certific	•	•		able?				N/A	
Turner of December	_						·		
Transport Records		4 h / a a a a b a	half) of the h.		: CTD\0				
1. Are any movement of yes, is there a sys		• '		•	•				
ii yes, is there a sys	sterri iri piace i	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	:				
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records		•						N/A	
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed o	f?							
If other detail:								N1/A	
3. Mortality records	•	correctly ent	ered?					N/A	
4. Recent mortality	•							N1/A	
5. Evidence of rece		• •		,				N/A	
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:					
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A	
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased ((unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action:								N/A	
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.									

Total Control of the Police Boson In								
Treatments and Medicines Records								
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?								
If yes, detail:								
If other, detail:								
2. Medicines records available for inspection?								
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?								
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?								
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?								
If other, detail:								
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?								
Biosecurity Records								
Biosecurity records available for inspection?								
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording ar	2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?							
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish I	Vinisters or veterinary professional of any							
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?								
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease								
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?								
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the	e farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certification if required)?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between	ween each epidemiological unit to minimise							
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors,								
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to m								
aquaculture animals held on site?	antani tilo priyologi contanimont oi							
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented of	on site?							
If no, detail:	11 010 .							
Results of Surveillance								
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on bel	nalf of the husiness?							
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	1011 01, 110 0001							
3. Any significant results?								
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).								
in you, dollar (in not dollariou and or roboth dioddod problemo).								
Records checked between: N/A								
Teodias checkes between.								

Case No:	2020-0368			Date of visit: 17/09/2020							
Site No:	SS0200	l		Inspector:		ı					
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	<u> </u>										
	 										
	 										
	1										
							-				
	-										
	 						-				
							-				
	 										
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
REG	21/09/2020										
	 										
	 										





Muckairn Mussels Achnacloich Connel by Oban Argyll PA37 1PR

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0130 SITE NO SS0200 INSPECTOR DATE OF VISIT SITE NAME CASE NO 17/09/2020 Rubha Ban (Site 3)

20200368

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

As there are no future plans for the site to be stocked, the site shall be made inactive. A confirmation letter will be issued separately.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 21/09/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2020-0369			Date of visit: 17/09/2020					
Time spent on site:	10 minutes	Main Inspecto	or:					
Site No: SS0303 Business No: SB0130	Site Name: Business Name:	Rubh A'Cairn Bhig (site 6) Muckairn Mussels						
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								
Site fallow								

No movements on or off site since last inspection.

Site has been fallow for several years due to presence of Mytilus trossulus in Loch Etive making commercial production of Mytilus edulis non-viable. Site owner may look to develop site for seaweed cultivation in future.

Site location inspected by boat, rafts have been removed. Mooring buoys remain in place.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0369		Site No:	SS0303				
Date of Visit:		17/09/2020]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No faciliti	es inspected 0	
Species	Fallow							
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	N/a		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	N/A		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes		t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:					, , , , , , , , , ,	(
4. Are movement re 5. Are records com 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a systematical	plete and corrected for introdes sented on the corrected output to the correct	ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on be	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not us			E	N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						N/A
How are mortaliti	es disposed o	f?						
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):							
5. Evidence of rece	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased ((unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: N/A

Case No:	2020-0369			Date of visit:	17/09/2020]		
Site No:	SS0303	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
ŕ		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	21/09/2020		2 11139					
_								





Muckairn Mussels Achnacloich Connel by Oban Argyll PA37 1PR

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0130 **DATE OF VISIT** 17/09/2020

SITE NO SS0303 SITE NAME Rubh A'Cairn Bhig

(site 6)

INSPECTOR CASE NO 20200369

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

As there are no future plans for the site to be stocked, the site shall be made inactive. A confirmation letter will be issued separately.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 21/09/2020

Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0370			Date of visit: 17/09/2020			
Time spent on site:	0 minutes	Main Inspecto	r:			
Site No: SS0571 Business No: SB0130	Site Name: Business Name:	Achnacloich - (Abbots) (Site 2) Muckairn Mussels				
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below: Site fallow						

No movements on or off site since last inspection.

Site has been fallow for several years due to presence of Mytilus trossulus in Loch Etive making commercial production of Mytilus edulis non-viable. Site owner may look to develop site for seaweed cultivation in future.

Site location inspected by boat, lines have been removed. Mooring buoys remain in place.

FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ued by: FHI	Date of i	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2020-0370	Site No:	SS0571		
Date of Visit: 17/0	9/2020	Inspector(s)	:	
Registration/Authorisation Details				
Business/site details summary checker	ed by site represent	ative?	Y	
2. Changes made to details?	, ,		Y	
Site Details (include cleaner fish for a	ıll sections)			
Total No facilities 0	Facilities sto	ocked 0	No facilities inspect	ed 0
Species Fallow				
Age group				
No Fish				
Mean Fish Wt				
Next Fallow Date (Site) N/a		Next Input Date (Site)	N/A	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		N/A Any escape	s (since last visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:				
Marramant Basanda				
Movement Records 1. Movement records available for inspe	otion?			N/A
·	CHOTT		12/05/20	
 Date of last inspection: Are records complete and correctly er 	atorod?		12/05/20	N/A
Are movement records available for d		2		N/A
		·		N/A
5. Are records complete and correctly er6. Are health certificates for introduction		abla?		N/A
o. Are nealth certificates for introduction	s (Outwill GB) avail	able :		14/74
Transport Records				
1. Are any movements carried out by (or	r on behalf) of the b	usiness (not using a STB)?		
If yes, is there a system in place for main	•	,		
Mortality Records				
Mortality records available for inspect	ion?			N/A
2. How are mortalities disposed of?	ЮП:			14/71
If other detail:				
Mortality records complete and correct	rtly entered?			N/A
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):	ony critered:			1471
 Evidence of recent increased/atypical 	mortalities?			N/A
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility		//reason:		
n yes, rasinty nest no mortality per rasinty	The stock per radiity	yricasori.		
6. Any other peaks in mortality during pe	eriod checked?			N/A
If yes, detail:				
Have increased (unexplained) mortali	ties been reported t	o vet or FHI?		N/A
If yes, detail action:				
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported	to FHI? If no, enter	details on mortality events s	sheet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail:
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: N/A

Case No:	2020-0370			Date of visit:	17/09/2020			
Site No:	SS0571	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
ŕ		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	21/09/2020		Z IIIOP					





Muckairn Mussels Achnacloich Connel by Oban Argyll PA37 1PR

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No SB0130 **DATE OF VISIT** 17/09/2020

SITE No SS0571 SITE NAME Achnacloich - (Abbots)

(Site 2)

INSPECTOR CASE NO 20200370

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

As there are no future plans for the site to be stocked, the site shall be made inactive. A confirmation letter will be issued separately.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 21/09/2020

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2020-0371			Date of visit: 17/09/2020				
Time spent on site:	10 minutes	Main Inspect	tor:				
Site No: SS0576 Business No: SB0130	Site Name: Business Name:	Sgeir nan Ron (Site 4) Muckairn Mussels					
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:				
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							
		Site fallow					

No movements on or off site since last inspection.

Site has been fallow for several years due to presence of Mytilus trossulus in Loch Etive making commercial production of Mytilus edulis non-viable. Site owner may look to develop site for seaweed cultivation in future.

Site location inspected by boat, lines have been removed. Mooring buoys remain in place.

FHI 059, Version 13	3	_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2020-0371		Site No:	SS0576]			
Date of Visit:		17/09/2020]		Inspector(s)):		
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made t	•	·	·				Υ	
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	ocked	0	No faciliti	ies inspected	0
Species	Fallow						<u> </u>	
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	N/a	•	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	N/A		
Recent (last 4 wks)		ems?		N/A	Any escape	s (since las	t visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:				•			,	
 Are records com Are movement re Are records com Are health certified Transport Recorded Are any movement yes, is there a system 	ecords availab plete and correcates for introc s ents carried ou	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outwork to by (or on be	th and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	•		[N/A N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records							_	
 Mortality records 		•						N/A
How are mortaliti	ies disposed o	f?						
If other detail:								
Mortality records	•	correctly ente	ered?					N/A
4. Recent mortality	•							
Evidence of rece		• •						N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	r/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased ((unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	r	
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		
Are records complete and correctly entered?		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		
or the medicines stored appropriatory.		
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	ng and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scott	ish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	ce or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified	ed to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked of	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	,	
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visite	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	to maintain the physical containment of	
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	ted on site?	
If no, detail:		
Descrite of Commelliance		
Results of Surveillance	habalf of the hypinese?	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	i benail of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?3. Any significant results?		
, ,		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between:	/Δ	
Records checked between.		

Case No:	2020-0371			Date of visit:	17/09/2020			
Site No:	SS0576	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
ŕ	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	 							
	 							
	1							
	 							
	 							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
REG	21/09/2020							
	ļ							
	 							





17/09/2020

Sgeir nan Ron (Site 4)

Muckairn Mussels Achnacloich Connel by Oban Argyll PA37 1PR

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

DATE OF VISIT

SITE NAME

BUSINESS NO SB0130 SITE NO SS0576

INSPECTOR CASE NO 20200371

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

As there are no future plans for the site to be stocked, the site shall be made inactive. A confirmation letter will be issued separately.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 21/09/2020

Fish Health Inspector

FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2020-0372			Date of visit: 17/09/2020			
Time spent on site:	0 minutes	Main Inspect	tor:			
Site No: SS0566 Business No: SB0489	Site Name: Business Name:	Cadderlie Bay Corben Ltd				
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail					
		site fallow				

Site area inspected from boat. No equipment in place. Site has not been developed since taken over from previous operator in 2007.

Site manager to be contacted by FHI to enquire about de-registering or inactivating the site.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issued by: FHI				Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2020-0372		Site No:	SS0566					
Date of Visit:		17/09/2020			Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails							
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			N	1	
2. Changes made to	•	·	·				N		
Site Details (include	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		0	Facilities sto	cked	0	No faciliti	es inspected	0	
Species	Fallow						T		
Age group									
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt									
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	N/A			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N/A	Any escapes	(since last	t visit)?	N/A	
If yes, detail:									
Movement Record	ls								
Movement record		r inspection?						N/A	
2. Date of last inspection: 28/02/2017									
3. Are records com		ectly entered	?					N/A	
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?								N/A	
5. Are records complete and correctly entered?							N/A		
6. Are health certific	cates for introd	ductions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A	
Transport Records	s								
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?				
If yes, is there a sys		• '		•					
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						N/A	
2. How are mortaliti		•						14// (
If other detail:	es disposed e								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					N/A	
4. Recent mortality	•	correctly one							
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?							N/A		
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:					
, ,	, ,	,	, ,						
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N/A	
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?								N/A	
If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								N/A	

Treatments and Medicines Records						
Recent treatments (see comment)? If you details						
If yes, detail: If other, detail:						
Medicines records available for inspection?						
Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?						
o. Are medicines stored appropriately:						
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?						
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement	nted on site?					
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
	1/4					
Records checked between:	N/A					

Case No:	2020-0372			Date of visit:	17/09/2020			
Site No:	SS0566	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
ŕ		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	21/09/2020		Z IIIOP					





Corben Ltd Loch Melfort Arduaine Argyll PA34 4XQ

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO SB0489
SITE NO SS0566
INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 17/09/2020
SITE NAME Cadderlie Bay 20200372

Date: 21/09/2020

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, and to meet the requirements of European Community Council Directive 2006/88/EC.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector