

Case No:	2021-0454	Date of visit:	03/11/2021			
Time spent on site:	1 hr	Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS0666	Site Name:	Burrastow			
Business No:	FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 CNI	3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6
Water Temp (°C):	10.7	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region:	SH	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	S-10
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="checkbox"/> N					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Remote inspection carried out on 27/10/2021 by [REDACTED] under observation by [REDACTED]. Site inspection carried out on 03/11/2021 by [REDACTED] under supervision of [REDACTED].

Fish waste currently being held by TWMA Shetland and is to be used to produce biofuel by SEM once fully approved and operational.

Upon inspection of stock, fish appeared healthy with no signs of clinical disease. Fish taken for VMD showed no visual signs of disease internally or externally.

Case No: **2021-0454** Site No: **FS0666**  
 Date of Visit: **03/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>4</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>4</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>4</b>
Species	<b>SAL</b>				
Age group	<b>2021 S0</b>				
No Fish	<b>299,000</b>				
Mean Fish Wt	<b>230g</b>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>June 2021</b>	Next Input Date (Site)	<b>Sep. 2023</b>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **09/11/2016**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **N**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Whole fish - TWMA (Shetland)**  
 If other detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **wk 39 - 58 (0.02%), wk 40 - 178 (0.08%), wk 41 - 1,840 (0.61%), wk 42 - 196**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**  
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

- |  |                          |   |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| If yes, detail:                                | T.M.S.                   |   |
| If other, detail:                              |                          |   |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?                  | T.M.S.                   |   |
| If other, detail:                              |                          |   |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately?         | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |

**Biosecurity Records**

- |  |                          |   |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| If no, detail:   |                          |   |

**Results of Surveillance**

- |   |                          |   |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | Y |
| 3. Any significant results?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | N |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).                           |                          |   |

Records checked between:	09/11/2016 - 27/10/2021
--------------------------	-------------------------



Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1-5	6-10	11-15									
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
Average weight	0.2300	0.2300	0.2300									
Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details												
		Furnace	Furnace	Furnace								
Stock Origin												
Facility No	1	3	4									



Case Number:	2021-0454	Site No:	FS0666	Insp:		
Date of Visit	03/11/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>17</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>

Case No: **2021-0454**

Site No: **FS0666**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Top Nets,  
Tensioned Nets,  
Lice Skirts

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0454

Site No: FS0666

Date of Visit: 03/11/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?



Site No: FS0666

Case No: 2021-0454

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0095	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	03/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0666	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Burrastow
<b>CASE No</b>	20210454	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

### Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 08/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2021-0455"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="02/11/2021"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="3 hrs"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="FS0999"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Collafirth 3"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="FB0440"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value="CNI"/>	3 <input type="text" value="SLI"/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="11.1"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="Site"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value=""/>	
Observations:	Region:	SH	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	S-4
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Remote inspection carried out on 26/10/2021 by [REDACTED] under observation by [REDACTED]. Site inspection carried out on 02/11/2021 by [REDACTED] under observation by [REDACTED].

Water temperature taken from site thermometer as there had been a delay with delivery of package to Shetland office, which contained inspectors thermometer.

Fish observed on site showing no signs of clinical disease in all but 2 pens. Fish in pens 7 & 9 showing to have lesions to the flanks and head in small numbers but were still shoaling with the rest of the population. Fish were remaining deep in the water column but were coming up for feed. Cameras checked to rule out abnormal behaviour of the population. Fish diagnosed with AGD by vet, gill scores of 1 & 2 observed. Fish currently being treated with salmosan and H2O2. Treatment taking prolonged time due to only having permission to treat 1 pen per day. Predation by seals at site. Morts removed showing seal damage but no containment issue observed. Nets checked monthly by divers.

Case No: **2021-0455** Site No: **FS0999**  
 Date of Visit: **02/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>10</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>9</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>10</b>
Species	<b>SAL</b>				
Age group	<b>S1</b>				
No Fish	<b>293,331</b>				
Mean Fish Wt	<b>2kg</b>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>05/2022</b>	Next Input Date (Site)	<b>unknown</b>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		Y	Any escapes (since last visit)?		<b>N</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>AGD present on site, HSMI</b>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **17/02/2020**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **N**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**  
 If other detail: **SEM Energy**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **Wk 39 (36 - 0.02%), Wk 40 (547 - 0.31%) Wk41 (761 - 0.26%) Wk42 (914 -**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:  
**[REDACTED]**  
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?  Y

If yes, detail: H2O2,  
T.M.S.,  
Salmosan

If other, detail: \_\_\_\_\_

2. Medicines records available for inspection?  Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  Y

5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Salmosan

If other, detail: \_\_\_\_\_

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?  Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?  Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained) mortality* at the site been included?  Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?  Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?  Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?  Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?  Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  Y

If no, detail: \_\_\_\_\_

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?  Y

3. Any significant results?  N

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). \_\_\_\_\_

Records checked between: 17/02/2020 - 26/10/2021

Case Number:	2021-0455	Site No:	FS0999	Insp:		
Date of Visit	02/11/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>20</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>



Case No: **2021-0455**

Site No: **FS0999**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? **N**
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? **Y**
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? **Y**
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? **Y**
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) **Y**
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) **Y**
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) **Y**
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected? **N**  
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. **N/A**
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) **N**
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) **N/A**
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? **N/A**
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded? **Y**
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms? **Y**
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice? **Y**
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation? **Y**
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons. **Y**

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles? **N**
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) **Y**

Top nets, tension nets

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection? **N**  
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) **Y**



Case No: 2021-0455

Site No: FS0999

Date of Visit: 02/11/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?



Site No: FS0999
Case No: 2021-0455
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0440	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	02/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0999	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Collafirth 3
<b>CASE No</b>	20210455	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

R25

## **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 08/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0456 Date of visit: 03/11/2021

Time spent on site: 0.5 hrs Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0167 Site Name: Mid Taing  
Business No: FB0095 Business Name: Cooke Aquaculture Scotland Ltd

Case Types: 1 REG 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): [ ] Thermometer No: [ ] FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: SH Water type: S CoGP MA S-10

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [ ] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? [ ] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? [ ] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? [ ]

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[ ]

**Additional Case Information:**

Morts from wk 40 2018 to wk 03 2019 when site became fallow were never above the reporting threshold. Site has not been stocked since and is to be deregistered. Visual inspection of site location confirms all equipment has been removed from the water.

Inspection carried out by [REDACTED], shadowed by [REDACTED]



Case No: 2021-0456

Site No: FS0167

Date of Visit: 03/11/2021

Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	Facilities stocked	No facilities inspected
Species	fallow	
Age group		
No Fish		
Mean Fish Wt		
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Next Input Date (Site)	site being derigistered
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	N/A	Any escapes (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:		N

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection:
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
- If other detail:
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
- If yes, detail:
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
- If yes, detail action:
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between: 04/10/2018 - 27/10/2021



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0095	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	03/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0167	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Mid Taing
<b>CASE No</b>	20210456	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow with all facilities removed. There are no plans to restock the site at this time therefore the site is being deregistered.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Date: 08/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at [www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter)

Case No:  Date of visit:

Time spent on site:  Main Inspector:

Site No:  Site Name:   
 Business No:  Business Name:

Case Types: 1  2  3  4  5  6

Water Temp (°C):  Thermometer No:  FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Clinical signs of disease observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Gross pathology observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Inspection at shorebase of consignment of 21.6 tonnes of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods, Ireland (certificate number MS/2021/0034).

Mussels looked very clean and no other shellfish observed in bags.

Inspection conducted by [REDACTED] observed by [REDACTED]

Case No: **2021-0459** Site No: **SS0759**  
 Date of Visit: **01/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N/A**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N/A**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>30</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>17</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>0</b>
Species	<b>MED</b>	<b>MED</b>			
Age group	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>			
No Fish	<b>~543 t</b>	<b>~10 t</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>JUV</b>	<b>SPA</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>N/A</b>	Next Input Date (Site)		Natural spat fall	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?		<b>N/A</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **29/11/2021**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **N/A**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**  
 If other detail: **Empty shells fall to sea bed**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **[REDACTED]**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N/A**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**  
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  N

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0530	<b>DATE OF VISITS</b>	01/11/2021-4/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0759	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Fearna
<b>CASE No</b>	20210459-0462	<b>INSPECTORS</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and four consignments of *Mytilus edulis* spat for export to the Republic of Ireland were inspected. Health certificates were issued which must travel with the consignments to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Date: 11/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0460 Date of visit: 02/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1h Main Inspector:

Site No: SS0759 Site Name: Fearna

Business No: SB0530 Business Name: Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 MOV 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Inspection at shorebase of a consignment of 21.6 tonnes of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods, Ireland (certificate number MS/2021/0035).

Mussels looked very clean and no other shellfish observed in bags.

Inspection conducted by [REDACTED] observed by [REDACTED]

Case No: **2021-0460** Site No: **SS0759**  
 Date of Visit: **02/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N/A**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N/A**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>30</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>17</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>0</b>
Species	<b>MED</b>	<b>MED</b>			
Age group	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>			
No Fish	<b>~521 t</b>	<b>~10 t</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>JUV</b>	<b>SPA</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>N/A</b>		Next Input Date (Site)	<b>Natural spat fall</b>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N/A</b>	
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **01/11/2021**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **N/A**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**  
 If other detail: **Empty shells fall to sea bed**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **[REDACTED]**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N/A**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**  
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	1/11/2021-2/11/2021
--------------------------	---------------------



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0530	<b>DATE OF VISITS</b>	01/11/2021-4/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0759	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Fearna
<b>CASE No</b>	20210459-0462	<b>INSPECTORS</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and four consignments of *Mytilus edulis* spat for export to the Republic of Ireland were inspected. Health certificates were issued which must travel with the consignments to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Date: 11/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>



Case No: 2021-0461 Date of visit: 03/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1h Main Inspector:

Site No: SS0759 Site Name: Fearna

Business No: SB0530 Business Name: Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 MOV 2 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Inspection at shorebase of a consignment of 21.6 tonnes of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods, Ireland (certificate number MS/2021/0036).

Mussels looked very clean and no other shellfish observed in bags.

Inspection conducted by [REDACTED] observed by [REDACTED]

Case No: **2021-0461** Site No: **SS0759**  
 Date of Visit: **03/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N/A**
- 2. Changes made to details? **N/A**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>30</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>17</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>0</b>
Species	<b>MED</b>	<b>MED</b>			
Age group	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>			
No Fish	<b>~500 t</b>	<b>~10 t</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>JUV</b>	<b>SPA</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>N/A</b>		Next Input Date (Site)	<b>Natural spat fall</b>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N/A</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. Date of last inspection: **02/11/2021**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? **N/A**
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
- If other detail: **Empty shells fall to sea bed**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **[REDACTED]**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N/A**
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N/A**
- If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
- If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  N

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0530	<b>DATE OF VISITS</b>	01/11/2021-4/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0759	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Fearna
<b>CASE No</b>	20210459-0462	<b>INSPECTORS</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and four consignments of *Mytilus edulis* spat for export to the Republic of Ireland were inspected. Health certificates were issued which must travel with the consignments to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Date: 11/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0462 Date of visit: 04/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1h Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: SS0759 Site Name: Fearna

Business No: SB0530 Business Name: Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 MOV 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): [ ] Thermometer No: [ ] FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

- Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
- Clinical signs of disease observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
- Gross pathology observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
- Diagnostic samples taken?  N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Inspection at shorebase of consignment of 21.6 tonnes of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods, Ireland (certificate number MS/2021/0037).

Mussels looked very clean and no other shellfish observed in bags.

Inspection conducted by [REDACTED] observed by [REDACTED]



Case No: **2021-0462** Site No: **SS0759**  
 Date of Visit: **04/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N/A**
- 2. Changes made to details? **N/A**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>30</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>17</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>0</b>
Species	<b>MED</b>	<b>MED</b>			
Age group	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>			
No Fish	<b>~478 t</b>	<b>~10 t</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>JUV</b>	<b>SPA</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>N/A</b>	Next Input Date (Site)		Natural spat fall	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?		<b>N/A</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. Date of last inspection: **03/11/2021**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? **N/A**
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
- If other detail: **Empty shells fall to sea bed**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **[REDACTED]**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N/A**
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N/A**
- If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
- If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  N

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0530	<b>DATE OF VISITS</b>	01/11/2021-4/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0759	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Fearna
<b>CASE No</b>	20210459-0462	<b>INSPECTORS</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and four consignments of *Mytilus edulis* spat for export to the Republic of Ireland were inspected. Health certificates were issued which must travel with the consignments to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 11/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0463 Date of visit: 05/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1h Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: SS0759 Site Name: Fearna  
Business No: SB0530 Business Name: Loch Striven Mussel Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 MOV 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): [ ] Thermometer No: [ ] FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [N] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? [N] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? [N] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? [N]

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Inspection at shorebase of a consignment of 21.6 tonnes of mussels for export to Lenger Seafoods, Ireland (certificate number MS/2021/0038).

Mussels looked very clean and no other shellfish observed in bags.

Case No: **2021-0463** Site No: **SS0759**  
 Date of Visit: **05/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N/A**  
 2. Changes made to details? **N/A**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>30</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>17</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>0</b>
Species	<b>MED</b>	<b>MED</b>			
Age group	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>			
No Fish	<b>~457 t</b>	<b>~10 t</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>JUV</b>	<b>SPA</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>N/A</b>		Next Input Date (Site)	<b>Natural spat fall</b>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<b>N</b> Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N/A</b>	
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **04/11/2021**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **N/A**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**  
 If other detail: **Empty shells fall to sea bed**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **[REDACTED]**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N/A**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**  
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	4/11/2021-5/11/2021
--------------------------	---------------------





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR


<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0530	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	05/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0759	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Fearna
<b>CASE No</b>	20210463	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and one consignment of *Mytilus edulis* spat for export to the Republic of Ireland was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignments to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 11/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No:	2021-0465	Date of visit:	03/11/2021			
Time spent on site:	1h	Main Inspector:				
Site No:	FS0271	Site Name:	Kames Bay (west)			
Business No:	FB0134	Business Name:	Kames Fish Farming Ltd			
Case Types:	1 ECI	2 SLI	3	4	5	6
Water Temp (°C):	13.2	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region:	ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA	M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="checkbox"/> Y	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="checkbox"/> N					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

--

**Additional Case Information:**

See case 2020-0241 for majority of paperwork for visit. Previous case carried out as REG, CNI and SLI as water temperature too high for ECI.

Salmosan treated under cascade so 500 degree day withdrawal.

Heavy metal testing - samples taken on Tuesday, no results yet.

Lice counts match submitted.

Harvesting is carried out on one cage at a time to enable treatments to be carried out on other cages if necessary.

Biosecurity records, FMA and surveillance frequency, harvest & lice strategy not checked again - site manager confirmed no changes.

Paperwork completed remotely by [REDACTED] 29/10/21, Site visit by [REDACTED].

Low numbers of mortalities observed in a few pens. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. In some pens there appears to be some issues with the feed digestibility causing some red coloured oil from the feed to swim at the surface. Company is looking into it and hope to resolve this as soon as possible.

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="4"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="4"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="RTR"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="85,000"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="1.722kg"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="July 2022"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Oct 2022"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	Salmosan	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Salmosan	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between:	28/07/2021-29/10/2021
--------------------------	-----------------------

Case No: **2021-0465**

Site No: **FS0271**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- If other, detail below:
- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0134	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	03/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0271	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Kames Bay (west)
<b>CASE No</b>	20210465	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice).

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/12/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0466 Date of visit: 03/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1h Main Inspector:

Site No: FS0462 Site Name: Kames Bay (east)
Business No: FB0134 Business Name: Kames Fish Farming Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 SLI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 13.2 Thermometer No: T205 FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: S CoGP MA: M-40

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

See case 2020-0240 for majority of paperwork for visit. Previous case carried out as REG, CNI and SLI as water temperature too high for ECI. Biosecurity records, FMA and surveillance frequency, harvest & lice strategy not checked again - site manager confirmed no changes.

Treated in August at Kames Bay West. Confirmed traceability of withdrawal period on stock.

Slight difference in reported sea lice numbers for weeks 29 - reported 0.17 - 0.35 on record. Wk 30 - reported 0.40, 0.43 on record. Confirmed figured previously submitted were incorrect, this has now been corrected.

Harvesting is carried out on one cage at a time to enable treatments to be carried out on other cages if necessary.

Paperwork completed remotely by [REDACTED] 29/10/21, Site visit by [REDACTED].

Low numbers of mortalities observed in a few pens. No moribund or lethargic fish observed. In some pens there appears to be some issues with the feed digestibility causing some red coloured oil from the feed to swim at the surface. Company is looking into it and hope to resolve this as soon as possible.

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="6"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="4"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="6"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="RTR"/>	<input type="text" value="RTR"/>			
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021"/>	<input type="text" value="2020"/>			
No Fish	<input type="text" value="60,000"/>	<input type="text" value="200"/>			
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="1.1kg"/>	<input type="text" value="4.1kg"/>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="August 2022"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Oct 2022"/>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text"/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

- 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? N  
 If yes, detail:
- If other, detail:
- 2. Medicines records available for inspection? N/A
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? N/A
- 5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
- If other, detail:
- 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? N/A

**Biosecurity Records**

- 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?
- 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? N/A
- 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included? N/A
- 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? N/A
- 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? N/A
- 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? N/A
- 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? N/A
- 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? N/A
- If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

- 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? N
- 2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
- 3. Any significant results?
- If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

Case No:

Site No:

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?   
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
- If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?   
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
  4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
  5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
  6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
  7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
  8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
  9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
  10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0134	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	03/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0462	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Kames Bay (east)
<b>CASE No</b>	20210466	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

On this occasion, this site was satisfactory with regards to records of sea lice counts.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: [REDACTED]

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 20/12/2021

R25

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0467 Date of visit: 01/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1 hour Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: SS0548 Site Name: Lamb Holm  
Business No: SB0553 Business Name: Orkney Shellfish Hatchery (OSH) Ltd

Case Types: 1 MOV 2 [ ] 3 [ ] 4 [ ] 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): [ ] Thermometer No: [ ] FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA: [ ]

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken?  N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Lobster juveniles inspected for export to Israel. MS/2021/0033 certificate issued for 330 animals. Letter and report of white spot testing provided.

Last month 7671 stage 1 larvae went into the conicals and 3110 stage IV post-larvae entered the Aquahives, giving a 40.5% survival rate and 4561 larval mortalities. These would have disintegrated or been eaten by other larvae.

Case No: **2021-0467** Site No: **SS0548**

Date of Visit: **01/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **N**
- 2. Changes made to details? **N**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>1</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>1</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>1</b>
Species	<b>OED</b>	<b>OED</b>	<b>HGA</b>	<b>HGA</b>	
Age group	<b>Adult</b>	<b>spat</b>	<b>adult</b>	<b>juveniles</b>	
No Fish	<b>388</b>	<b>223,300</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,500</b>	
Mean Fish Wt	<b>350g</b>	<b>&lt;1g</b>	<b>850g</b>	<b>&lt;1g</b>	
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>No plans</b>		Next Input Date (Site)	<b>Jan 22</b>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. Date of last inspection: **26/10/2021**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
- If other detail: **Mortalities are bagged and frozen before being taken to Chinglebraes waste transfer station.**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **See additional info.**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**
- If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
- If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	
If no, detail:	

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	

Records checked between:	26/10/2021 - 01/11/2021
--------------------------	-------------------------



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	SB0553	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	01/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	SS0548	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Lamb Holm
<b>CASE No</b>	20210467	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and a consignment of European lobsters for export to Israel was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 09/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>



Case No:  Date of visit:

Time spent on site:  Main Inspector:

Site No:  Site Name:   
Business No:  Business Name:

Case Types: 1  2  3  4  5  6

Water Temp (°C):  Thermometer No:  FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA: O-2

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

Paperwork - Mortality and sea lice conducted remotely on 04/11/21. Site is within DMA 8B, but is not within a CoGP FMA, however it is included in the FMAg for O2 - between SSF and CAS.

Treatment records, health reports and movement records inspected during site inspection on 10/11/21

Site has poles for top nets rather than the central support, these were reported to work well at the site and cope better with large motion through the site. Also planning to fit these to the Mill Bay site.

Fish will be split to the Mill Bay site at beginning of 2022 after it has undergone fallow period. With fish at both sites being grown to harvest. All dead haul harvests at site. Bay of Holland has a smaller tonnage than Mill Bay, so may have been used as a nursery site, however, for this cycle some fish will be grown to harvest.

Mortality removal conducted using ROV, this was reported to work well.

Mortalities very low on site, no issues noted or observed. Fish removed for VMD appeared in very good condition with no sea lice.

A thermolicer treatment was conducted in early October, this reportedly gave a very good clearance

Site uses an Aquascale to measure weights of fish, this was reported to be very accurate and removes the need to handle fish for sample weighing, used especially on run up to harvests.

Currently 11 x 100m cages on site, grid has capacity for 16 x 100m cages.

No dead, moribund or lethargic fish observed during the inspection, CAS were training two new members of their health team whilst conducting gill scores. Mortality removal was also on-going during the inspection, using an ROV which goes between Westray, Stronsay and Rousay sites, mortalities were very low.

Weather conditions were poor on site at time of inspection, with winds gusting to force 7 and a large swell >1m going through the cages at the southern end of site. Fish were deep in the water.

Site is currently organic and no medicinal treatments have been used for sea lice. Only treatments T.M.S. for lice counts/gill scores.

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="12"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="8"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="12"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021 S1"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="391,493"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="1.2 kg"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Dec 2022"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Mar/April 2023"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

Records checked between:	15/03/21 to 31/10/21
--------------------------	----------------------

Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

**Add Fish/Pools - click**

Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1	2										
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL										
Average weight	1.2000	1.2000										
Sex	N/A	N/A										
Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details												
		Loch Garasdale	Loch Garasdale									
Stock Origin												
Facility No	2	7										



Case Number:	2021-0468	Site No:	FS1349	Insp:		
Date of Visit	10/11/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				0
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				0
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				0
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				0
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>15</b>	
<b>Rank</b>					<b>LOW</b>	

Case No: **2021-0468**

Site No: **FS1349**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Top Nets,  
Tensioned Nets,  
Seal Pro nets

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)



Case No: 2021-0468

Site No: FS1349

Date of Visit: 10/11/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0095	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	10/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS1349	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Bay of Holland
<b>CASE No</b>	20210468	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

### Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 19/11/21

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0471 Date of visit: 01/11/2021

Time spent on site: 30 min Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0681 Site Name: Ormsary Hatchery  
Business No: FB0061 Business Name: Landcatch Natural Selection Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 7.8 Thermometer No: Site FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity requirements on site.

Stock details provided 27/10/21. Paperwork discussed 09/11/21 and some provide that day. Following paperwork provided on 10/11/21 & 29/11/21.

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="hatchery"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="hatchery"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="hatchery"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="5,170,000"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="ova"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="May 2022"/>		Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="September 2022"/>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.



**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail: <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>	
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained) mortality</i> at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail: <input type="text"/>		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). <input type="text"/>		

Records checked between:	<input type="text"/>
	21/01/2020 - 1/11/21

Case Number:	2021-0471	Site No:	FS0681	Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/11/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4	2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>	
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2	0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0			0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4			
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10			
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0			
	Common processes with other farms	3			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5			
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>	
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2	1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2	0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0			0
	No	1			
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>					
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0			0
	No	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes	0			0
	No	2			
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>42</b> <b>HIGH</b>

Case No: **2021-0471**

Site No: **FS0681**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?  
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?  N
  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)  Y
- If other, detail below:  
site inside, grates over any drains
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?  N  
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
  4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
  5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
  6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
  7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
  8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
  9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
  10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)  Y



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0061	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	01/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0681	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Ormsary Hatchery
<b>CASE No</b>	20210471	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.


## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 30/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0472 Date of visit: 02/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1hr Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0821 Site Name: Kilcalmonell Hatchery  
Business No: FB0071 Business Name: JS Salmon Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 VMD 4 [ ] 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): 9.7 Thermometer No: T205 FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: ST Water type: F CoGP MA: [ ]

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? [Y] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? [N] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? [N] If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? [N]

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Low number of mortalities observed in two tanks. No lethargic or moribund fish observed. Fish samples for VMD appeared healthy.

No treatments since last inspection.



Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="8"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="6"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="8"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="Parr"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="114,088"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="62g"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="March 2022"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="June 2022"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?	<input type="text" value="N"/>		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value=""/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail: <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>	
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained) mortality</i> at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail: <input type="text"/>		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). <input type="text"/>		

Records checked between:	<input type="text" value="17/09/2019 - 2/11/21"/>
--------------------------	---

Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

**Add Fish/Pools - click**

Pool/Fish No													
Fish nos	1-4												
Pool Group													
Species	SAL												
Average weight	60g												
Sex	N/A												
Water Type	FW												
Stock Details		Alt Mhor											
	Stock Origin												
	Facility No	SW1											



Case Number:	2021-0472	Site No:	FS0821	Insp:		
Date of Visit	02/11/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>19</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>

Case No: **2021-0472**

Site No: **FS0821**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?  
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?  N
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)  Y
- If other, detail below:**
- Tank covers**
- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?  N  
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)  Y

Site No: FS0821
Case No: 2021-0472
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology







# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0071	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	02/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0821	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Kilcalmonell Hatchery
<b>CASE No</b>	20210472	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

**Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015**

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

**Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 17/12/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No: 2021-0473 Date of visit: 03/11/2021

Time spent on site: 1h Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS0757 Site Name: Carnassarie Hatchery  
Business No: FB0134 Business Name: Kames Fish Farming Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): 8.2 Thermometer No: T205 FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: ST Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

Site only recently reactivated and stocked in the middle of October. Site had previously not been used for several years and further clean up work is still on-going to improve facilities. Only a small number of tanks is in use currently. Broodstock kept on site to establish own egg production. Site is equipped to strip fish. Green eggs and milt will then be transferred to a hatchery in North Yorkshire.

No treatments conducted and no medicines currently stored on site.

Case No: **2021-0473** Site No: **FS0757**

Date of Visit: **03/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
- 2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>17</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>6</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>17</b>
Species	<b>RTR</b>				
Age group	<b>2019</b>				
No Fish	<b>555</b>				
Mean Fish Wt	<b>6.1kg</b>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>Feb 2022</b>	Next Input Date (Site)	<b>July/Aug 2022</b>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection?
- 2. Date of last inspection: **09/09/2016**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection?
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
- If other detail: **Placed into a sealed container before being taken to Kames Pier Ensiler**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **Wk 41 (2, 0.36%), wk 42 (0), wk 43 (0)**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
- If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
- If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail: <input type="text"/>		
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="text"/>	
If other, detail: <input type="text"/>		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail: <input type="text"/>		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). <input type="text"/>		

Records checked between:	<input type="text"/>	09/09/2016 - 3/11/2021
--------------------------	----------------------	------------------------

Case Number:	2021-0473	Site No:	FS0757	Insp:		
Date of Visit	03/11/2021	<b>No of movements/supp./dest.</b>			<b>Score</b>	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	6
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				0
	Common processes with other farms	3				
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>10</b>	<b>LOW</b>

Case No: **2021-0473**

Site No: **FS0757**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?  
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?  N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)  Y

If other, detail below:

Tank covers

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?  N  
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)  Y





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0134	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	03/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0757	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Carnassarie Hatchery
<b>CASE No</b>	20210473	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	██████████

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 29/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>

Case No:  Date of visit:

Time spent on site:  Main Inspector:

Site No:  Site Name:   
 Business No:  Business Name:

Case Types: 1  2  3  4  5  6

Water Temp (°C):  Thermometer No:  FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA O-2

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Clinical signs of disease observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Gross pathology observed?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

**Additional Case Information:**

No recent entries in mort spread sheet.

Lice counts; average adult female; 13/9;0.04, 20/9; 0.03, 4/10;0.04, 11/10; 9.29, 18/10; 0.13

Fish input from Shapansay (Barcaldine) in 9th September in well boat and had peroxide treatment during the transport.

mortality low for past 4 week -attributed to physical damage - very tidal site.

Pervious cycle; morts peak week4 2020 - storm damage <1%

Treatments; SLICE end September for Caligus, planning thermolicer treatment on 13/11/21 due to lep numbers.

Harvest; Live in wellboat - start passive grade in March 2022 -to Scalloway.

Seal pro nets on site but seal generally not a problem, tension in nets, top nets

Mort disposal; Not stored at shore base but shipped out twice a week. - All SSF in Orkney use ensiling facility at Twatt, ensiled material is then transported to Shetland Pelagia. Increased morts get a bulker (lorry) and are taken whole to Shetland Pelagia.

Paperwork and inspection by [REDACTED], observed for audit by [REDACTED]

Case No: **2021-0475** Site No: **FS0813**  
 Date of Visit: **09/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

- 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**
- 2. Changes made to details? **Y**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>10</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>10</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>10</b>
Species	<b>sal</b>				
Age group	<b>Q2 2021</b>				
No Fish	<b>282,361</b>				
Mean Fish Wt	<b>1.4kg</b>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>Sept 2022</b>	Next Input Date (Site)	<b>not known</b>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N</b>		<b>N</b>
If yes, detail: <b>[REDACTED]</b>					

**Movement Records**

- 1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. Date of last inspection: **12/11/2019**
- 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **Y**
- 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

- 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **N**
- If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

- 1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
- 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Other (detail)**
- If other detail: **see additional info**
- 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
- 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **Wk 43 0.03%, wk42 0.02%, wk41 0.05%, wk 40 0.12**
- 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**
- If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**
- 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**
- If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**
- 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
- If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
- 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail: TMS		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	TMS
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If no, detail:		

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

AGD present but not levels to cause concern.	
Records checked between:	12/11/19- 9/11/21

Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1	2										
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL										
Average weight	1.4000	1.4000										
Sex												
Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Shapansay (Barcauldine)	Shapansay (Barcauldine)									
	Facility No	1	3									





Case Number:	2021-0475	Site No:	FS0813	Insp:		
Date of Visit	09/11/2021	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
<b>Live fish movements</b>		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	10
<b>Exposure via water</b>	<b>Site contacts</b>	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
<b>Management practices</b>		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
<b>Biosecurity</b>	<b>Number of sites</b>	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				
	No	1				1
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>22</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>

Case No: **2021-0475**

Site No: **FS0813**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

see additional info

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0475

Site No: FS0813

Date of Visit: 09/11/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

- 2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?
- 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?
- 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?
- 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?
- 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?
- 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

- 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?
- 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?
- 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?
- 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?
- 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

- 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?
- 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?
- 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?
- 16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?
- 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

**Live Fish Movements**

- 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?
- 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Site No: FS0813

Case No: 2021-0475

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0125	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	09/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0813	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Puldrite
<b>CASE No</b>	20210475	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

### Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25



Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Date: 23/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-ch>



Case No: 2021-0476 Date of visit: 10/11/2021

Time spent on site: 4 hours Main Inspector: [Redacted]

Site No: FS1023 Site Name: Bring Head  
Business No: FB0125 Business Name: Scottish Sea Farms Ltd

Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI 3 SLI 4 VMD 5 [ ] 6 [ ]

Water Temp (°C): 11 Thermometer No: T146 FHI 045 completed [ ]

Observations: Region: OR Water type: S CoGP MA: O-3

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Clinical signs of disease observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Gross pathology observed?  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  
Diagnostic samples taken?  N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:  
[Redacted]

**Additional Case Information:**

sea lice spreadsheet average adults female; 20/9; 0.32, 27/9; 0.34, 4/10; 0.4, 18/10; 1.21

Mort disposal; Not stored shipped out twice a week. - All SSF in Orkney use ensiling facility at TwaTt, ensiled material is then transported to Shetland Pelagia increased morts get a bulker (lorry) and are taken whole to Shetland Pelagia.

Fish input in November 2020 from Toyness (Barcaldine origin). - all fish on site moved on at about 1 kg

Site morts; wk 39; 3% (reported) wk 40 0.25%, wk 41 6.85% (19660 fish peroxide treatments, low oxygen and CGD, reported), wk 42 0.48% wk 43 0.37% Breakdown of Wk 41 morts; cage1, 6.2%, 1850, cage3 8.7%, 2330, cage4 6.8% 1832 fish, cage5 18.8% 5240 fish, cage6 7.%, 2310 fish, cage10, 6.3% 1920 fish. - CGD and peroxide treatment.

Harvesting on Monday, first harvest - Live well boat- Scalloway processing plant

Peroxide treatment week 41. hydrolicer treatment start sept 2021. Slice treatments; 14-22 June, 18-26 Aug, Paramove 8th - 12th October.

Seal pro nets, Top nets, Tensioned nets- Seal predation at times of large tides

Case No:  Site No:

Date of Visit:  Inspector(s):

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?

2. Changes made to details?

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="10"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="10"/>	No facilities inspected	<input type="text" value="10"/>
Species	<input type="text" value="sal"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="20S0"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="265,000"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="3.4kg"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="July 2022"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="Feb 2023"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<input type="text" value="Y"/>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<input type="text" value="N"/>
If yes, detail:	<input type="text" value="Complex gill disease"/>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection?

2. Date of last inspection:

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?

5. Are records complete and correctly entered?

6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?

If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection?

2. How are mortalities disposed of?

If other detail:

3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?

4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):

5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?

If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?

If yes, detail:

7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?  Y

If yes, detail: T.M.S., peroxide week 41

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?  Y

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  Y

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?  Y

5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?  Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?  Y

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?  Y

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?  Y

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?  Y

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?  Y

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?  Y

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?  Y

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  Y

If no, detail:

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?  Y

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?  Y

3. Any significant results?  Y

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). 11/8/21 - high Caligus levels and gill damage.

8/10/21 - Caligus, AGD.

Records checked between: 30/7/19- 10/11/21

Case no:  Site No:  Date of visit/  
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI  BA  PA  MG  HI

Time sampling starts/ends:   Inspector:  VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1  2  3  4  5

Summary samples HIST  BA  MG  VI  PA  Total Samples

**Add Fish/Pools - click**

Pool/Fish No													
Fish nos	1												
Pool Group													
Species	SAL												
Average weight	3.4000												
Sex													
Water Type	SW												
Stock Details		Toyness											
	Stock Origin												
Facility No	2												





Case Number:	2021-0476	Site No:	FS1023	Insp:			
Date of Visit	10/11/2021	<b>No of movements/supp./dest.</b>			<b>Score</b>		
<b>Live fish movements</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>&gt;10</b>		
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26		
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14		
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10	
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3	
<b>Exposure via water</b>		<b>Site contacts</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>1-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0					
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2	
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
<b>Management practices</b>		<b>None</b>	<b>Secure</b>	<b>Unsecure</b>			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0	
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2					
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4					
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0					
	Common processes with other farms	3				3	
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0	
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5					
<b>Biosecurity</b>		<b>Number of sites</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2 or 3</b>	<b>≥ 4</b>		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1	
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0					
	No	1				1	
<b>CoGP/Regulator</b>							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0	
	No	3					
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0	
	No	2					
<b>Total Rank</b>					<b>21</b>	<b>MEDIUM</b>	

Case No: **2021-0476**

Site No: **FS1023**

**Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)**

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) followed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

**Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

If other, detail below:

Seal pro nets on site but seals generally not a problem, tension in nets, top nets

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2021-0476

Site No: FS1023

Date of Visit: 10/11/2021

Inspector: [REDACTED]

**Point of Compliance**

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

If N, no further questions require completion.

**Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements**

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

**Arrangements for Fish Health Management**

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

**Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice**

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

**Live Fish Movements**

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

**Harvesting**

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

**Fallowing**

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

**Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only**

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

**Management and operation**

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

Site No: FS1023

Case No: 2021-0476

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0125	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	10/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS1023	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Bring Head
<b>CASE No</b>	20210476	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

### Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

R25

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### **Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007**

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4 A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

A black rectangular box redacting the signature of the Fish Health Inspector.

Date: 23/11/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>



Case No: 2021-0477 Date of visit: 07/11/2021

Time spent on site: 2 Hours Main Inspector:

Site No: FS0890 Site Name: Jubilee Loch Shin
Business No: FB0341 Business Name: Migdale Smolts Ltd

Case Types: 1 MOV 2 VMD 3 4 5 6

Water Temp (°C): Thermometer No: FHI 045 completed

Observations: Region: HI Water type: F CoGP MA:

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken? N

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:
unable to take VMD due to adverse weather

**Additional Case Information:**

All fish on from Kintail, 1st - 18th June.

Morts incinerated on site

Export of 291,479- from pens 3, 14, 19, 22 and 27. All inspected and no moribund fish observed. MS/2021/0040 to Cherbourg France. Helicopters will remove the fish from the cages onto one of 7 lorries which will transport them to a well boat at Invergorden. Disinfection certs viewed for the 7 lorries and the wellboat the MV Migdale. Estimated time of dispatch, 9/11/1 at 17.00hrs.

Aquasen (formalin)- 9 cages tarp treatment for any fungus issues.

Tricaine used for vaccination, Spring output currently being vaccinated.

Morts for last 4 week all less than 0.1% for the site/week; wk43, 138 morts, week42; 126 morts, week41 104 morts, week40 121 morts - attributed to bird damage, fungus or unknown. Mortality levels have been very low this year.

Treatments; 2021 S0 are not on withdrawal for TMS. 2022 S1 are currently being vaccinated so are on withdrawal for TMS. All fish are on withdrawal for Aquasen (Formalin)

Unable to collect VMD samples due to adverse weather conditions.

Case No: **2021-0477** Site No: **FS0890**  
 Date of Visit: **07/11/2021** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

**Registration/Authorisation Details**

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**  
 2. Changes made to details? **Y**

**Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)**

Total No facilities	<b>31</b>	Facilities stocked	<b>19</b>	No facilities inspected	<b>5</b>
Species	<b>sal</b>	<b>sal</b>			
Age group	<b>2021 S0</b>	<b>2022 S1</b>			
No Fish	<b>338,000</b>	<b>568,000</b>			
Mean Fish Wt	<b>100g</b>	<b>60g</b>			
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<b>March 2022</b>		Next Input Date (Site)	<b>May/June 2022</b>	
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<b>N</b>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	<b>N</b>
If yes, detail:	<b>[REDACTED]</b>				

**Movement Records**

1. Movement records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. Date of last inspection: **03/11/2020**  
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**  
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**  
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

**Transport Records**

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **[REDACTED]**  
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

**Mortality Records**

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**  
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Incinerated - on site**  
 If other detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**  
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **less than 0.1%/site/week**  
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **N**  
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**  
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **N**  
 If yes, detail: **[REDACTED]**  
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**  
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**  
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **N/A**

**Treatments and Medicines Records**

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	Aquasen	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Aquasen	
If other, detail:	T.M.S.	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y

**Biosecurity Records**

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
If no, detail:	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Results of Surveillance**

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Records checked between:	3/11/20-7/11/21
--------------------------	-----------------





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR


<b>BUSINESS No</b>	FB0341	<b>DATE OF VISIT</b>	07/11/2021
<b>SITE No</b>	FS0890	<b>SITE NAME</b>	Jubilee Loch Shin
<b>CASE No</b>	20210477	<b>INSPECTOR</b>	[REDACTED]

#### Inspection for export

The above site was visited and a consignment of Atlantic salmon smolts for export to France was inspected. A health certificate was issued which must travel with the consignment to the destination.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date: 23/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>